

DISSOLVED OXYGEN REQUIREMENTS OF  
FRESHWATER FISHES

by

Peter Doudoroff and Dean L. Shumway  
Department of Fisheries and Wildlife  
Oregon State University  
Corvallis, Oregon, U.S.A.

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS  
Rome, June 1970

## PREPARATION OF THIS PAPER

This critical review of literature on dissolved oxygen requirements of freshwater fishes is one of a series of papers on water quality criteria, the formulation of which is a prerequisite to the control of water pollution. It was prepared for FAO by the State of Oregon (acting by and through the State Board of Higher Education, Corvallis, Oregon), and constitutes Special Report No.281, Oregon Agricultural Experiment Station, a contribution from the Pacific Cooperative Water Pollution Laboratories, Oregon State University. This study was carried out by Dr. Peter Doudoroff and Dr. Dean L. Shumway of the Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University.

The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and not necessarily of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

### Distribution:

FAO Department of Fisheries  
FAO Regional Fishery Officers  
Selected world experts/  
institutions  
Authors

### "Current Bibliography" entry:

Doudoroff, P. & D.L. Shumway 17-6B031  
(1970)  
FAO Fish.tech.Pap., (86):291 p.  
Dissolved oxygen requirements of freshwater fishes

North America. South America. Europe.  
Asia. Africa. Clupeidae. Salmonidae.  
Acipenseridae. Cichlidae. Esocidae.  
Cyprinidae. Ictaluridae. Poeciliidae.  
Percidae. Centrarchidae. Tolerance  
limits, lethal levels - internal and  
external factors - research methods.  
Effects on growth, swimming ability,  
food resources and fish production.  
Metabolism, behaviour and avoidance  
reactions. Polluted waters. Practical  
recommendations. Bibliography.

FOREWORD

The provision of water of a quality suitable for each of its uses is an important element of good water resource management. In most cases such quality is attained through the control of pollution. But in order to specify correctly the degree of pollution control which is necessary to protect each use, it is essential to determine the standards of quality required for each use.

One of these uses - the production of fish for food and sport - is a major beneficial use of water, and in recent years many efforts have been made to draw up water quality requirements for fish and fisheries. Obviously there cannot be a universally applicable set of requirements or "criteria" in view of the wide differences in the composition of fish fauna and the prevailing hydrological, limnological and socio-economic conditions in different areas. It therefore follows that appropriate criteria will necessarily vary depending upon the individual water and the fish concerned as well as upon the status of fisheries in the particular region.

The member nations have asked FAO to lay adequate emphasis in its work on steps towards the control of water pollution, including research into and aid in achieving better water quality standards for fish. In fact, one of FAO's regional fishery commissions, with the voluntary assistance of selected scientists, has been making detailed critical reviews of relevant literature in order to provide a scientific basis for the derivation of water quality criteria by its member countries. The Organization, recognizing the need to strengthen these efforts and to assist the work of its other regional fishery bodies - and through them the FAO member nations - in the field of water pollution control, commissioned the study contained in this Technical Paper. As oxygen deficiency is one of the most common adverse effects of water pollution on freshwater fisheries, the world-wide data reviewed here should prove valuable to both research workers and administrators alike in all our member countries.

William A. Dill  
Chief, Inland Fishery Resources Branch  
Fishery Resources Division, FAO

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The assistance of Drs. R. E. Millemann, J. B. Sprague, and C. E. Warren for their critical review of portions of the manuscript and for many helpful suggestions is gratefully acknowledged. We wish to thank Drs. W. A. Brungs, G. A. Chapman, and A. W. Pritchard and Messrs. F. E. Hutchins, T. O. Thatcher, and T. W. Trent for making available to us unpublished data reported here. Special appreciation is extended to Dr. W. A. Spoor for his assistance in our literature search.

Research that is being done in our laboratories and of which still unpublished results, as well as published data, are summarized here has been supported by grants from the Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, U. S. Department of the Interior.

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
COMMON AND SCIENTIFIC NAMES OF FISHES REPEATEDLY MENTIONED IN TEXT	13
SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS	14
Lethal levels of dissolved oxygen	14
Fecundity and embryonic development	17
Larval growth	19
Juvenile growth	20
Swimming ability	22
Respiration, blood, and metabolism	23
Behavior and avoidance reactions	27
Variety of fishes in polluted waters	28
Food resources	28
General	29
LETHAL LEVELS OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN	30
Methods of evaluation of tolerance limits	47
General survey of reported tolerance limits	53
Variation of resistance with exposure time	63
Variation of resistance with age and size	67
Variation of resistance with temperature and season	69

## CONTENTS (Cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
Influence of carbon dioxide and pH	77
Acclimation to oxygen deficiency and intraspecific variation of resistance	80
Lethal effects of oxygen excess	87
FECUNDITY AND EMBRYONIC DEVELOPMENT	91
Fecundity	91
Embryonic development of salmonid fishes in the laboratory	92
Survival of salmonid embryos buried in streambed gravels	99
Embryonic development of fishes other than salmonids	105
Ecological significance of data on embryonic development and survival	111
LARVAL GROWTH	116
Observed effects on growth of salmonid alevins	116
Ecological significance of data on larval growth	124
JUVENILE GROWTH	128
Basic theoretical considerations	128
Growth on uniformly restricted rations	133
Growth on unrestricted and intermittent satiation rations	133
Growth at fluctuating oxygen concentrations	147
Ecological significance of data on juvenile growth	149

## CONTENTS (Cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
SWIMMING ABILITY	152
Immediate effects of oxygen deficiency only	152
Influence of carbon dioxide and of acclimation to oxygen deficiency	156
Ecological significance of effects on measured swimming performance	159
RESPIRATION, BLOOD, AND METABOLISM	162
Respiratory compensation	163
Effects on blood	167
Oxygen uptake rates and respiratory dependence	170
Effects on active oxygen uptake rates	180
Effects on intermediate oxygen uptake rates	185
Effects on resting (or "standard") oxygen uptake rates	193
Effects on oxygen uptake by embryos and larvae	195
Significance of critical levels of dissolved oxygen in general	200
Scope for activity	205
Persistent effects of acclimation to oxygen deficiency on oxygen uptake	209
Respiratory quotients and anaerobic metabolism	213
Metabolic rates determined by the energy balance method	218

## CONTENTS (Cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
BEHAVIOR AND AVOIDANCE REACTIONS	224
Effects on spontaneous activity	224
Avoidance in small tanks and tubes	226
Avoidance in large tanks and under natural conditions	234
Other reactions and effects on migrations	238
VARIETY OF FISHES IN POLLUTED WATERS	241
FOOD RESOURCES	248
GENERAL DISCUSSION AND PRACTICAL RECOMMENDATIONS	250
General conclusions	252
Some considerations basic to the formulation of criteria or standards	255
Recommended criteria and their application	263
Derivation of proposed criteria	268
REFERENCES	276

## TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLE 1 Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937	31-46
--	-------

## CONTENTS (Cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 1 Proposed dissolved oxygen criteria for protection of freshwater fisheries: Curves relating "acceptable" seasonal dissolved oxygen minima, or minimum levels that are deemed appropriate to different, specified levels of protection of fisheries, to estimated natural seasonal minima.	264
FIGURE 2 "Impairment-of-performance responses" of freshwater fishes to reductions of oxygen concentration: Hypothetical "average" concentration-response curve (heavy line) generally agreeing with some plotted representative relations between oxygen concentration and indices of relative "performance" (growth rate, swimming speed, or weight at hatching) of largemouth bass, coho salmon, and steelhead trout.	270

## INTRODUCTION

Of the many polluttional changes of water quality that can affect fresh-water fisheries adversely, none is generally believed to be more common and important than is the reduction of dissolved oxygen ( $O_2$ ). It occurs to some extent in all waters receiving domestic and industrial wastes that contain putrescible organic matter. Water pollution control efforts have therefore been directed largely toward the maintenance of  $O_2$  concentrations above minima that are believed to be required by fishes. The occurrence of abnormally high concentrations of  $O_2$  produced by plant life in the course of photosynthesis in waters enriched with plant nutrients has not generally been regarded as an important problem.

The effects on aquatic life of reduction of dissolved  $O_2$  have been investigated perhaps as thoroughly as those of any other alteration of water quality. Several somewhat sketchy, concise summaries of available information on the  $O_2$  requirements of fishes, together with brief discussions of the practical significance of these data, have been published during the last decade (Fry, 1960; Jones, 1964; Doudoroff and Warren, 1965; Doudoroff and Shumway, 1967). Effects of  $O_2$  deficiency on the metabolism, development, growth, and locomotion of fishes recently have been studied intensively. Yet, the ideas and technical criteria on which the regulation of discharges of the  $O_2$ -demanding wastes is based have changed little in the last 30 years.

In the United States, the Subcommittee on Fish, Other Aquatic Life and Wildlife, National Technical Advisory Committee on Water Quality Criteria, has recently formulated criteria or guidelines to be used in the establishment of regulatory water quality standards for the protection of aquatic life throughout the nation (Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, 1968). The recommendations of this group pertaining to dissolved  $O_2$  differ only in minor details from widely adopted criteria proposed earlier by other authors (Ellis, 1937; Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission, Aquatic Life Advisory Committee, 1955, 1956; Tarzwell, 1957, 1958; Huet, 1952). Also, the kinds of evidence or considerations on which the most recent recommendations and those of Ellis (1937), published more than 30 years earlier, were said to have been based are not markedly different. Considerations prominently mentioned in both reports are dissolved  $O_2$  levels at which respiratory compensation in fish was believed to begin, and levels observed in streams where fish faunas of normal variety, including game fish, were or were not found. Other pertinent data that have been recently published, such as data on the growth of fish at different  $O_2$  concentrations in laboratory tests, are mentioned in the committee report. There is no evidence, however, that the recommendations presented there derive chiefly from the results of intensive experimental research of the past 30 years. Perhaps these findings were found to be too discrepant, inconclusive, or irrelevant to be very useful. On the other hand, the significance and value of these data perhaps is not sufficiently understood or realized even by many specialists in water pollution biology, no

comprehensive, detailed, and critical review and interpretation of the available information having been published heretofore.

One does not need to study the literature very long to become convinced that there is indeed little agreement of reported findings. Minimum tolerable or "threshold" levels of  $O_2$  reported by some investigators are by several times greater than those reported by others for the same fish species, tested at about the same temperatures. Assertedly meaningful "critical" levels of  $O_2$  below which  $O_2$  uptake rates of fish have been found to be reduced and dependent on the dissolved  $O_2$  concentration are even more variable. They range from values near air-saturation levels to values little above the levels that are lethal for the fish. For Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar, alevins 1 to 5 days old at  $14^{\circ}\text{C}$ , values of 2.3 and 10 mg/l have been reported, for example. Hatching of eggs of different fish has been reported sometimes to be delayed and sometimes to be accelerated by reduction of  $O_2$ , and their sensitivity has been reported sometimes to increase and sometimes to decrease with the progress of embryonic development and upon hatching. At normal temperatures, salmonid fishes have been reported by some investigators to be capable of successful development at  $O_2$  levels as low as 3 mg/l or less, and sometimes even to be adversely affected by overabundance of  $O_2$  at concentrations near air-saturation levels. But other authors have asserted that these fish require concentrations near air-saturation levels, or at least 7 or 8 mg/l, for successful development. Growth of juvenile salmonids has been found to be impaired by any considerable reduction of  $O_2$  from air-saturation levels; it has also been reported to be quite

unaffected by reduction to 50% of air-saturation. Fish have been said by some to be capable and by others to be quite incapable of prompt detection and avoidance of low  $O_2$  concentrations. Reduction of  $O_2$  sometimes has been said to depress activity and sometimes to cause increases of the activity of fish. Fish faunas of normal variety have been authoritatively said not to occur in waters with  $O_2$  below 4 or 5 mg/l, but they have also been reported to persist in polluted waters in which higher concentrations had not been observed for long periods and much lower levels occurred regularly. The reasons for these apparent contradictions have not been adequately explained.

It is no wonder, therefore, that pertinent, simple criteria proposed by scientists and adopted on their advice by regulatory agencies as water quality standards have sometimes been said to have no sound scientific foundation. Another source of difficulty in the formulation of suitable criteria or standards, namely, a lack of clear and precise definition of objectives of water pollution control efforts, has been discussed by us elsewhere, along with the technical problems (Doudoroff, 1960; Doudoroff and Shumway, 1967). The above-mentioned technical advisory committee of American experts has been criticized for the scanty documentation of the assertions on which its recommendations (Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, 1968) are based. But what can be the value of such documentation when entirely contradictory assertions or conclusions can be supported with equally impressive documentation and arguments? Such a contest between spokesmen for conflicting interests has been in progress for many years. The views that have generally prevailed are

intermediate between extreme views or positions of the contending parties. As such they are defensible (Doudoroff and Shumway, 1967), but a satisfying solution for a predominantly scientific problem is not attained by compromise or by averaging conflicting findings and blending divergent opinions impartially. The need for a fresh, individual approach to the problem under consideration here, beginning with a careful re-evaluation of evidence and unhampered by reluctance to depart far from precedents, seems to be indicated.

What reductions of dissolved  $O_2$  concentration in waters receiving non-toxic organic wastes can be reasonably deemed compatible with unimpaired or only moderately impaired production of valuable freshwater fishes? This is the central problem to the solution of which we have addressed ourselves, the question that we must try to answer, at least tentatively, before concluding this treatise. Obviously, we must concern ourselves primarily with the dissolved  $O_2$  requirements of fishes under essentially natural conditions. Most of our knowledge and understanding of these requirements must derive from controlled experiments, many of which can be performed only in the laboratory. Studies in the field have yielded few unequivocal answers to any of our questions, because of the difficulty of separating the effects of many uncontrolled variables. An abundance of exact physiological data is essential to understanding and prediction of the responses of animals to alterations of their natural environment. But determination of the requirements of fish confined in a respirometer or laboratory aquarium cannot be our final objective. We must, therefore, searchingly inquire into the relevance of each kind of information obtainable

in the laboratory to the essentially ecological question posed above. Such a critical evaluation of experimental approaches to an ecological problem can help us to avoid much waste of time and effort in future research and perhaps will be our most valuable contribution to water pollution biology in this treatise.

Although there have been investigations of the resistance of many kinds of fish to rapidly lethal effects of  $O_2$  deficiency, whereas sublethal harmful effects on relatively few species have been studied, most of our treatise is devoted to consideration of the latter effects. Good fish production obviously is impossible at nearly lethal levels. Because  $O_2$  concentrations in moderately polluted waters usually fluctuate widely, some biologists have supposed that adequate protection of fish against chronic, sublethal injury can be ensured merely by permitting no reduction of  $O_2$  below their tolerance thresholds at any time. However, by appropriate waste disposal methods (e. g. , storage of wastes and their controlled dilution throughout the year), maintenance of low  $O_2$  concentrations that are only barely tolerable for adult and juvenile fishes in receiving waters sometimes is feasible. This practice should, of course, be discouraged. Furthermore, the adverse effects on fishes of their repeated exposure to nearly lethal  $O_2$  concentrations even for limited periods of time and the great sensitivity of fish embryos and larvae to  $O_2$  deficiency have been increasingly realized. Therefore, threshold levels for lethal effects are now almost universally regarded by regulatory agencies as unacceptable criteria or limits of water quality impairment, and their very detailed reporting and consideration here could not be very helpful.

L

tolerance thresholds, or they can be negligible. Therefore, for the protection of the fish, limits of acceptable reduction of dissolved  $O_2$  and of acceptable thermal pollution should be defined first. Discharges of the various toxic pollutants then should be controlled so that they would not be unduly harmful at the minimum expected and acceptable levels of  $O_2$ . Criteria appropriate to the definition of limits of safe concentration of these and other directly injurious pollutants are, of course, entirely outside the scope of this treatise.

This work is not a compendium or summary of all available data pertaining to dissolved  $O_2$  and fish life, nor is it a historical account of research efforts. We have not attempted to cite, or even to consult, every publication pertinent to our subject to which a reference could be found. No publications are cited here that appeared before the year 1937, the year in which the erudite and highly influential report entitled "Detection and Measurement of Stream Pollution" by M. M. Ellis (1937) appeared in the United States. We are of the opinion that advances made much more than 30 years ago are adequately reflected in the thinking and research efforts of leading investigators who have published their findings and conclusions more recently and have reviewed the earlier work. Important as they may have been in their day, the early publications are now of historical interest only, because of recent advances of knowledge and refinements of experimental and analytical methods. This is not to say that much of the research reported during the past three decades is not shockingly crude, superficial, or inept, reflecting little of the wisdom and experience of careful and thoughtful investigators of earlier years. We realize that recency

of publication is not a dependable measure of the reliability or worth of research results. However, some of the more penetrating recent studies have so much increased our understanding of the problem under consideration here that a review of much earlier literature could not be very helpful to the reader.

Some of the defective work and questionable results or conclusions that have been published recently cannot be so lightly dismissed. Our simply ignoring them could be mistaken for careless oversights, or at best viewed as arbitrary dismissal by us of findings that we cannot explain or reconcile with our own. Our doubts concerning the validity of results or conclusions reported in the literature of the last 30 years therefore must be explained, sometimes at some length. Neither omission of all published findings that we deem mistaken or unreliable nor indiscriminate reporting of them without critical comment appeared to us to be the best course.

We have not confined ourselves to the reporting of published data only. Having found little or no reliable, published information on some important matters that needed to be considered here, we have decided to use unpublished data freely. These have been obtained from students' theses, from files of our research organization, and from colleagues who have been kindly willing to supply the desired information. Our objective is fully to inform our readers about the present status of knowledge of the dissolved  $O_2$  requirements of freshwater fishes, and we decided that a conventional, concise review of only the published literature could not serve this purpose adequately. Very recently obtained information that is not generally available has materially influenced our evaluation

A vast amount of physiological literature on the respiration of fishes, on the circulation and gas transport capacity of their blood, and on their metabolism is pertinent in some degree to the dissolved  $O_2$  requirements of these animals. Inclusion in this review of a complete summary of this literature is deemed neither feasible nor appropriate to the purposes of the review. Yet, this literature cannot be entirely ignored. It has contributed much to our understanding of the problem under consideration here and of the results of experiments on the influence of dissolved  $O_2$  on the performance by fish of functions of obvious ecological importance. Furthermore, competent investigators have repeatedly suggested or implied that the dissolved  $O_2$  requirements of fish can best be inferred from experimental data on the influence of dissolved  $O_2$  on respiratory or  $O_2$  uptake rates of fish in the laboratory. These suggestions certainly deserve careful attention and evaluation, and they must be explained even if they are finally to be largely dismissed, as we are inclined to dismiss them. Therefore, one large section of this report deals with the influence of  $O_2$  concentration on the respiration and metabolic rates of fish and with other related matters, but by no means fully.

No discussion of the dissolved  $O_2$  requirements of fishes can be complete or very meaningful if it does not include some consideration of the influence on these requirements of two important environmental variables, namely, temperature and the concentration of free carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ). The temperature of the water controls the rates of all metabolic processes of cold-blooded aquatic animals, and therefore their need for  $O_2$  can vary widely with entirely normal

variations in temperature of their medium. Concentrations of free  $\text{CO}_2$  in natural and polluted waters tend to vary inversely with the  $\text{O}_2$  content,  $\text{CO}_2$  being a product of oxidative decomposition of putrescible organic matter and the respiration of plants and animals. Because of the well-known influence of  $\text{CO}_2$  on the affinity of blood for  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$  must be considered as a factor that may increase the  $\text{O}_2$  requirements of fish when it is present in concentrations that are not otherwise injurious to them.

On the other hand, toxic water pollutants whose toxicity to fish is aggravated at reduced  $\text{O}_2$  concentrations should not be considered as factors influencing  $\text{O}_2$  requirements. Many toxicants may become more effective with any reduction of  $\text{O}_2$  chiefly or entirely because of increased rates of their absorption by fish through gill surfaces, due to the necessary acceleration of gill irrigation under hypoxic conditions (Lloyd, 1961). In other cases, the nature of the interactions may be such that neither  $\text{O}_2$  deficiency nor toxicity can be properly regarded as the primary cause of debility or death, which can result from cumulative injury referable to two or more simultaneous physiological stresses. The interaction of hypoxia and extreme heat also may be of this nature. We do not believe, however, that detailed consideration of interactions between  $\text{O}_2$  deficiency and toxic pollutants (other than  $\text{CO}_2$ ) or other directly injurious agents would be appropriate to the purpose of the present work. The variety of water pollutants that can be directly harmful to fish is vast, and the number of their possible combinations almost endless. Their concentrations in well-oxygenated but more or less polluted waters that support fish life can be only slightly below

4

and interpretation of published research results.

At the end of this Introduction will be found a list of common and scientific names of all fish species whose common names are used repeatedly (i. e. , in more than three paragraphs) in the text of the following sections. To avoid excessive and unnecessary repetition, the scientific names of these repeatedly mentioned species are not given in the text. They can be readily found in the above-mentioned list, where the common names are arranged in alphabetical order. Scientific names are given in the text for species mentioned not more than three times, or for those for which no acceptable English or American common names could be found.

The second major section of our treatise, following this Introduction and the list of names of fishes, is a section entitled "Summary of Conclusions". Such a summary ordinarily would be found at the end of a literature review or other treatise. We have chosen the unusual procedure of summarizing our principal conclusions near the beginning, however, because we believe that it will be helpful to our readers in perusing the more involved and difficult sections that follow the summary, and in selecting matter that they wish to read.

Our detailed presentation and discussion of the information on which our conclusions are based was not designed for easy reading. Much of the material presented can be interesting and fully comprehensible only to specialists who have concerned themselves with the particular problems being considered, or to thoughtful students undertaking pertinent research and willing patiently and laboriously to follow our arguments. Many readers may do well to pass over

most of the details and difficult passages and to concentrate their attention on reported data and our comments pertaining to those of our conclusions that they find intriguing or questionable. Our summary of the conclusions will help them to find the material of special interest to them under the appropriate section headings and subheads. Some readers may profitably choose to go directly from this summary to our concluding general discussion and practical recommendations. Those who are interested only in our conclusions and are prepared to accept our judgments probably would find all of the detailed documentation and explanations tedious and difficult to understand. But without the supporting data and explanations, our conclusions concerning highly controversial matters would be of little value to other interested scientists, and much of the effort that went into our critical review of literature and evaluation of data would be wasted.

COMMON AND SCIENTIFIC NAMES OF FISHES  
REPEATEDLY MENTIONED IN TEXT

American shad	<u>Alosa sapidissima</u>
Atlantic salmon	<u>Salmo salar</u>
bluegill	<u>Lepomis macrochirus</u>
bream	<u>Abramis brama</u>
brook trout	<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>
brown bullhead	<u>Ictalurus nebulosus</u>
brown trout	<u>Salmo trutta</u>
carp	<u>Cyprinus carpio</u>
channel catfish	<u>Ictalurus punctatus</u>
chinook salmon	<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>
coho salmon	<u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>
fathead minnow	<u>Pimephales promelas</u>
goldfish	<u>Carassius auratus</u>
largemouth bass	<u>Micropterus salmoides</u>
mirror carp	<u>Cyprinus carpio</u>
mosquitofish	<u>Gambusia affinis</u>
northern pike	<u>Esox lucius</u>
perch	<u>Perca fluviatilis</u>
pike	<u>Esox lucius</u>
rainbow trout	<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>
roach	<u>Rutilus rutilus</u>
sockeye salmon	<u>Oncorhynchus nerka</u>
steelhead trout	<u>Salmo gairdneri</u> (anadromous)
yellow perch	<u>Perca flavescens</u>
zander	<u>Lucioperca lucioperca</u>

## SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

### Lethal levels of dissolved oxygen

Meaningful minimum levels of  $O_2$  concentration at which fish can live are not easily determined. Endurance limits that have been determined in the laboratory or field by various experimental methods can be much lower or higher than the true thresholds of tolerance (incipient lethal levels) under natural conditions. Wide disagreement of "threshold" levels of  $O_2$  reported by different investigators for the same species of fish doubtless are due largely to differences of experimental methods employed, some of which are obviously quite unreliable.

Differences in resistance to  $O_2$  deficiency between different species or populations of fish and between individuals from the same population undoubtedly are great. Nevertheless, reports of fully developed freshwater fish being killed within a day or two by reduction of  $O_2$  concentration to levels above 3.0 mg/l in water of otherwise favorable quality are unusual and should all be regarded with some suspicion. They cannot now be accepted as convincing evidence that reduced concentrations not below 3.0 mg/l are intolerable for some fish under ordinary conditions in nature. Salmonids are among the most susceptible fishes, but some other kinds of fish, including certain sturgeons, have not proved clearly more resistant than salmonids in comparable tests, and some warmwater forms may be much more susceptible at some early life-history stages.

Low levels of  $O_2$  endured by fish for 24 hours even at moderately high temperatures are not necessarily tolerated thereafter (i. e., for longer periods) by most of the surviving individuals. It is not possible to specify a maximum exposure period within which death of fish ascribable to acute anoxia will almost always occur if it will occur at all. Pertinent information is very limited and contradictory. True thresholds of tolerance (incipient lethal levels) may or may not be demonstrable by experiments of 7-day duration at moderate temperatures.

Young fish tend to be less resistant to reduction of  $O_2$  concentration than older and larger individuals, but the reported patterns of variation of resistance with age, especially during the first month of life, are extremely variable.

Patterns of variation of the resistance of fish to  $O_2$  deficiency with water temperature also are highly variable, and no regular pattern of its seasonal variation independent of temperature has been conclusively demonstrated for any species. The lowest  $O_2$  levels endured by fish in comparable tests may increase regularly with any rise of temperature over a wide temperature range. They may also be constant over a wide range of temperatures, but probably always increase markedly at high temperatures not far below the limits of thermal tolerance of the fish.

High concentrations of free  $CO_2$  likely to be encountered under aerobic conditions in waters polluted with organic wastes have little or no effect on the resistance to  $O_2$  deficiency of fish that are accustomed to  $CO_2$  concentrations not much lower. When exposure is sudden, even moderately elevated levels of free  $CO_2$  together with reduced but normally tolerable levels of  $O_2$  can be rapidly

fatal to some fish, because the dissolved  $O_2$  requirement of the fish increases with increase of free  $CO_2$ . However, fish become very rapidly adjusted to high  $CO_2$  concentrations that they can tolerate, and this adjustment can be expected usually to occur before the fish are subjected to critically low levels of  $O_2$  in nature. The observed effects of free  $CO_2$  on the dissolved  $O_2$  requirements of fishes definitely are not ascribable to the decreases of pH that are normally associated with increases of free  $CO_2$  but do not have the same effects.

Increases of the resistance to further reduction of dissolved  $O_2$  of fish subjected for some time to nonlethal low levels have been convincingly demonstrated. The acclimation can be nearly complete in about ten days or sooner, but perhaps is much slower or does not occur at very low temperatures and under other unfavorable circumstances. After complete acclimation of fish to the lowest tolerable levels of  $O_2$ , their tolerance thresholds can be about half the threshold levels evaluated after acclimation to air-saturation levels of  $O_2$ .

Large differences in tolerance of  $O_2$  deficiency between fish of the same species native or acclimatized to different geographic regions have been reported. They have been related to differences of  $O_2$  concentrations to which the fish are exposed in their natural habitats. It is not known, however, how permanent these differences of tolerance are and to what extent they are genetic.

Death of fish resulting apparently from toxic effects of abnormally high (supersaturation) levels of dissolved  $O_2$  in laboratory tests has been reported by some investigators but has not been observed at higher levels of  $O_2$  by others. Evidence concerning possible toxicity of excessive concentrations of  $O_2$  thus is

curiously contradictory. However, excessive production of  $O_2$  by phytoplankton during photosynthesis doubtless can cause fatal gas bubble disease of fish on rare occasions, presumably only when the total tension of all dissolved atmospheric gases greatly exceeds the hydrostatic pressure.

#### Fecundity and embryonic development

Deficiency of  $O_2$  evidently can result in reduced fecundity of fish or prevent their spawning, but there is no evidence yet that the adverse effect on egg production occurs at  $O_2$  levels higher than those necessary for successful hatching of the eggs. Pertinent information is very limited, however.

The development and growth of embryos of salmonid fishes are retarded, their size at the time of hatching is reduced, and hatching is usually delayed by any reduction of  $O_2$  concentration from the air-saturation level (or from a higher level) even at favorable temperatures and water velocities. However, successful hatching of relatively small and underdeveloped but viable and not deformed larvae of most salmonid species is possible at  $O_2$  levels between 2 and 3 mg/l under otherwise favorable conditions in the laboratory. The sensitivity of the embryos to  $O_2$  deficiency increases with their age and is greatest just before hatching. Increases of the velocity of water movement around the embryos tend to reduce the effects of hypoxia because of acceleration of the delivery of  $O_2$  to egg-capsule surfaces. The dissolved  $O_2$  requirements of salmonid embryos apparently can be greatly increased by abnormal elevation of water temperatures, but not by moderate increases of free  $CO_2$  likely to occur in nature.

Apparent inability of all or most salmonid embryos to survive at reduced  $O_2$  concentrations below 8, 7, 6, or 5 mg/l in water percolating through stream-bed gravels when the embryos were buried in the gravels where eggs are normally deposited has been reported repeatedly. It has been regarded by some as an indication of very high dissolved  $O_2$  requirements of the embryos, but has not been adequately explained. In view of the wide range of apparently lethal  $O_2$  levels reported, the small differences of  $O_2$  concentration that have been associated with great differences of observed mortalities, and the results of laboratory experiments, death of the embryos cannot be generally ascribed to the reduced levels of  $O_2$ . The variations of measured  $O_2$  concentrations in sampled water from the gravels were associated with differences in amount of silt deposited in the gravels. A high degree of correlation between the  $O_2$  levels and observed mortality rates is not proof that deficiency of  $O_2$  in the sampled water was the primary cause of death.

Embryos of some fish species can develop at  $O_2$  concentrations less than 2.0 mg/l to successful hatching of viable larvae that are not deformed. Embryos of other species, such as the sturgeon Acipenser güldenstädti, the pike, Esox lucius, the bream, Abramis brama, the fathead minnow, Pimephales promelas, and the lithophilous cyprinid Vimba vimba, apparently require  $O_2$  concentrations above 4 mg/l or even well above 5 mg/l at temperatures normal for them. At lower levels, most of them have been found to perish or develop abnormally in laboratory tests. Embryos of phytophilous species that normally develop in still water and of lithophilous species that normally develop and have been tested

in the laboratory in rapidly moving water are among those that appear to be highly sensitive to  $O_2$  deficiency. Under hypoxic conditions, hatching is often delayed, but eggs of some species hatch (prematurely) earlier under these conditions than they do in well oxygenated water; hatching size tends to be smaller than normal in either case.

Some warmwater species evidently require, for successful development,  $O_2$  concentrations in their ambient medium higher than those required by the coldwater salmonids. However, salmonid embryos buried in streambed gravels may be exposed to  $O_2$  concentrations far below those in the water flowing over the gravels. Any reduction of the latter concentrations by pollution of the water can result in reduced survival of the embryos, because in some locations the  $O_2$  levels in water moving slowly through gravel can be barely adequate or inadequate even in streams receiving no organic wastes.

#### Larval growth

Great depression of the growth rates of salmonid alevins by reduction of  $O_2$  concentrations to about 5 or 6 mg/l has been reported, but results of recent, thorough investigations indicate that this is not a normal response. Under otherwise favorable conditions, reduction of dissolved  $O_2$  to these levels apparently has little or no effect on growth rates of those salmonid alevins whose responses have been carefully studied and described, and on the efficiency of their utilization of yolk for growth. Moderately wide diurnal fluctuation of  $O_2$  concentration about these levels also has little effect on growth. Even at constant concentrations as low as 3 mg/l, the rate of growth is reduced only moderately, and the

size of the fry at the time when absorption of yolk is complete is reduced by no more than 25%, except at very low water velocities (e.g., 10 cm/hr) and perhaps at unfavorable, high temperatures. When embryonic and larval development both occur at a moderately reduced  $O_2$  concentration, the consequent delay of completion of yolk absorption is ascribable in much larger degree to retardation of embryonic growth than to the retardation of larval growth.

Detailed information on the influence of  $O_2$  concentration on larval growth of fish other than salmonids is lacking. In view of the relatively short duration of the larval life of most fishes, moderate retardation of larval growth at reduced  $O_2$  concentrations probably is not usually as important as is similar retardation of postlarval (juvenile) growth. However,  $O_2$  concentrations in water percolating through streambed gravels in which salmonid alevins remain for a considerable period of time before emergence are often much lower than concentrations in the water above the gravels. The ecological significance of effects on growth of the alevins is uncertain.

#### Juvenile growth

Food consumption and growth rates of juvenile fishes receiving unrestricted or abundant food rations and growing rapidly at favorable temperatures in laboratory aquaria can be limited by the  $O_2$  concentration at levels near the air-saturation level. They are then depressed by any considerable reduction of  $O_2$  from saturation levels. Lack of dependence of growth rates of abundantly fed fish on  $O_2$  levels well below saturation levels has been observed but perhaps is always associated with relatively slow growth, for which a low temperature

or nutritionally deficient or unattractive food may be responsible. The maximum limiting  $O_2$  concentration apparently can increase sharply from a very low level to near the saturation level with a small increase of temperature beyond a critical point, which is between  $15^\circ$  and  $20^\circ\text{C}$  in the case of the largemouth bass, Micropterus salmoides, a warmwater species. Appetite and growth rates are depressed, but only moderately, at very high  $O_2$  concentrations up to three times the air-saturation levels; they may be increased or depressed slightly by  $O_2$  supersaturation that is not so great.

The gross efficiency of conversion of food to body tissue as a rule is not markedly impaired at a reduced  $O_2$  level if food consumption is not depressed greatly at that  $O_2$  level and the  $O_2$  level is not very low. Therefore, considerable impairment of gross food conversion efficiency of fish kept on unrestricted or abundant food rations in aquaria generally does not occur at reduced  $O_2$  levels much above 4 mg/l, even when temperatures are moderately high. When food rations are restricted so that equal amounts of food are consumed at all tested  $O_2$  levels, reduction of the  $O_2$  concentration even to much lower levels (3 mg/l or less) apparently has little or no effect on food conversion and growth. Observations conflicting with these findings have been reported but are deemed unreliable or inconclusive.

When food rations are unrestricted, growth of juvenile fish in laboratory aquaria is impaired by large diurnal fluctuations of  $O_2$ , as compared with growth at constant  $O_2$  concentrations equal to the mean levels (arithmetic or geometric means) in the aquaria with fluctuating concentrations. Such diurnal fluctuations of  $O_2$  between very high and low  $O_2$  levels sometimes can impair the appetite and growth of fish at moderately high temperatures almost as much as does

continuous exposure to the low  $O_2$  levels.

Under natural conditions, food intake is not rigidly fixed or restricted, but growth apparently is usually limited by the availability of food; increased exploitation of available food resources may require excessive energy expenditures. Neither in ordinary laboratory (aquarium) experiments in which rations are unrestricted nor in similar experiments with restricted rations are conditions that are natural from a bioenergetic standpoint approached. Limiting  $O_2$  levels at which food consumption and growth become  $O_2$ -dependent under natural conditions therefore cannot be established through such simple laboratory experiments alone. They may, however, prove not very different from those concentrations at which growth begins to be restricted in laboratory tests with unrestricted rations.

#### Swimming ability

Fish may continue to swim at moderate speeds at  $O_2$  concentrations not far above lethal levels. However, the maximum long-sustainable swimming speeds of salmonid fishes at moderate temperatures normally decline with any considerable reduction of  $O_2$  concentration below air-saturation levels. Those of some warmwater fishes become clearly limited by dissolved  $O_2$  only at lower concentrations, near or below 5 mg/l.

Concentrations of free  $CO_2$  likely to be associated with low  $O_2$  concentrations do not materially increase the effect of  $O_2$  deficiency on maximum sustained swimming speeds. Even much higher concentrations of  $CO_2$  which at

high levels of  $O_2$  have a pronounced effect on the sustained swimming speeds of coho salmon, Oncorhynchus kisutch, are ineffective at very low levels of  $O_2$ . Acclimation of goldfish, Carassius auratus, to  $O_2$  deficiency has no influence on maximum speeds sustainable by them at low levels of  $O_2$ .

Very rapid swimming probably is more often required in nature than is prolonged swimming at maximum sustainable speeds. Effects of reduced levels of  $O_2$  on "burst" speeds that are maintainable only for fractions of a minute, and also effects on the frequency with which short-term swimming at maximum speeds can be repeated, apparently have not yet been investigated.

#### Respiration, blood, and metabolism

Fish probably respond to any change of  $O_2$  concentration by respiratory or cardiovascular compensations. These responses, including changes of respiratory rhythm (opercular rate), are adaptive and not indicative of impairment of any functions of ecological import. Judgments concerning the dissolved  $O_2$  requirements of fishes cannot be soundly based on reported observations of incipient respiratory compensation. Other apparently adaptive responses of fish to reductions of  $O_2$  concentration, such as increases of the erythrocyte count and hemoglobin content of their blood, have been described but probably never considered as evidence or indices of injury. An increase of the rate of red blood cell formation may not occur in fish subjected to serious hypoxic stress; an increased erythrocyte and hemoglobin content of the blood then apparently is maintained for a long time at the expense of reserves in the spleen.

Critical (limiting)  $O_2$  concentrations below which the rates of  $O_2$  consumption by fish are depressed and dependent on the  $O_2$  concentration are highly variable. They tend to increase or decrease with the level of  $O_2$ -independent metabolism maintained at higher  $O_2$  levels. They may also shift markedly with continued exposure of the fish to tested  $O_2$  levels and consequent acclimation thereto. The  $O_2$ -independent metabolic rates for which these concentrations are limiting depend on the temperature, the level of activity of the fish, their nutritional state, and other factors. The critical levels of  $O_2$  pertaining to maximum sustainable  $O_2$  uptake rates of active fish ("active" rates) are commonly above air-saturation levels of  $O_2$  and difficult to determine precisely, even at moderate temperatures. Those for "standard" rates of resting fish in the postabsorptive state may be, but are not necessarily, very near the incipient lethal levels of  $O_2$ , or thresholds of tolerance. Those for variously defined "routine" rates can be any intermediate values depending on test conditions, on levels of spontaneous or other non-enforced activity of the fish, on recency of feeding, etc. Sometimes, even relatively low  $O_2$  uptake rates, either "routine" or "standard", are not independent of  $O_2$  concentration at moderately reduced levels of  $O_2$ . They may increase markedly and then decrease as the  $O_2$  concentration is reduced, and be maximal at  $O_2$  levels far above lethal levels. Proper definition of critical levels then becomes a problem. But in any case, the physiological significance of a critical level that is well above the minimum tolerable level of  $O_2$  is uncertain. Some activities must be suppressed or some functions impaired at  $O_2$  levels below the critical level, but these effects of reduction of  $O_2$

concentration presumably begin at levels above the critical level.

Only fairly permanent critical levels of  $O_2$  below which the still virtually unknown metabolic rates maintained by fish under natural conditions are limited can be ecologically meaningful. Such stable critical  $O_2$  concentrations pertaining to truly ordinary metabolic rates of fish normally feeding in nature are not known to have been determined.

Some conclusions concerning dependence of metabolic rates of fish larvae and embryos on the concentration of  $O_2$  that have been based on determinations of  $O_2$  uptake rates may be meaningful. However, such conclusions have, for reasons not fully understood, disagreed seriously with each other or with probably more reliable and useful conclusions based on studies of growth.

Critical levels of  $O_2$ , as well as the rates of  $O_2$  uptake by fish, can be expected generally to increase with rise of temperature and after consumption of food. Moderately elevated concentrations of free  $CO_2$  tend to depress "active" and perhaps some "routine" (but not "resting")  $O_2$  uptake rates, but the little studied effects on critical levels of  $O_2$  probably are variable and not very pronounced.

Acclimation of fish to reduced  $O_2$  levels can result in gradual depression of "routine" and "resting"  $O_2$  uptake rates. Fishes that have been held for long periods at a reduced  $O_2$  level usually have lower "resting" and "routine"  $O_2$  uptake rates after transfer to high or intermediate levels than do fish that had been acclimated to the higher levels of  $O_2$ . At low levels of  $O_2$ , the fish acclimated to a low level may have the higher  $O_2$  uptake rate, because of a downward shift of

the critical level of  $O_2$  with acclimation to low  $O_2$  levels, or there may be no difference. The "active" rates of  $O_2$  uptake of brook trout, Salvelinus fontinalis, acclimated to a low  $O_2$  level are higher at low  $O_2$  levels, but not at high levels, than those of fish acclimated to a high  $O_2$  level.

Reported lasting increases of the respiratory quotients for some fish to values above unity at reduced  $O_2$  concentrations indicate partially anaerobic metabolism. The  $O_2$  uptake rates of fish determined at low levels of  $O_2$  therefore may be unreliable measures of total metabolism. Long-sustained, entirely anaerobic metabolism of fish has been reported.

The difference between the "active" or maximum sustainable  $O_2$  uptake rate of fish and the "standard" or nearly basal rate has been termed the "scope for activity". However, the active rate varies widely with the nature or degree of stimulation of the fish and is difficult to determine precisely. Also, there is insufficient agreement as to the proper definition of the "standard" rate, which, as it has been variously determined, is not necessarily a nearly minimum sustainable rate. The fraction of the full scope for activity required for unimpaired feeding and other activities and for entirely normal growth of fish under natural conditions has not yet been shown to be generally independent of the availability of food and rate of growth. It may not be a nearly constant fraction. Any decision as to the fraction of the full scope that should be generally accepted for regulatory purposes as an adequate fraction would be premature and almost entirely arbitrary.

Rates of  $O_2$  uptake cannot be determined under nearly natural conditions. The "energy balance" method of metabolic rate evaluation appears to be a

promising approach to the estimation of average natural metabolic rates of feeding fish. It can be used in studies on fish under experimental conditions corresponding to natural conditions with respect to major bioenergetic considerations. Results of preliminary experiments with largemouth bass indicate that the average metabolic rate of a predaceous fish at a temperature favorable for growth may be nearly independent of the abundance of prey in its natural environment. Such stability of the average metabolic rate would indicate that the dissolved  $O_2$  requirement of the fish in nature is not a function of food consumption and growth rates, which tend to increase with increasing prey density. Foraging and other activity may decrease as prey density and food consumption increase. The very limited and inconclusive data now available suggest that for truly ordinary, natural metabolic rates, and for rates of growth in nature, critical or limiting  $O_2$  concentrations may be near air-saturation levels at moderately high temperatures.

#### Behavior and avoidance reactions

Activity of fish can increase or decrease at reduced  $O_2$  concentrations; the first one of these effects probably is usually followed by the second one. Increased random movement elicited by hypoxia and more tranquil behavior in well-oxygenated water can result in some avoidance of low  $O_2$  concentrations. The ability of fish promptly to detect intolerably low  $O_2$  concentrations and to avoid them by predominantly appropriate, rather than random, changes in direction of swimming has been denied. Evidence supporting the view that such avoidance reactions are possible, at least in the laboratory, seems to be preponderant,

however. Under natural conditions, many species of fish occur at low  $O_2$  concentrations only slightly above lethal levels, showing no strong tendency to avoid them. However, fish often seem to avoid lethal levels successfully when better oxygenated water is accessible. Concentrations below 4 or 5 mg/l apparently have interfered with upstream migration of adult salmonid fishes, but migration of these and other anadromous forms through waters of lower  $O_2$  content (2 or 3 mg/l) has been reported.

#### Variety of fishes in polluted waters

The widely accepted conclusion of Ellis (1937) that good, mixed fish faunas do not occur in waters in which  $O_2$  falls below 4 or 5 mg/l is based on unreliable evidence and is contradicted by more reliable observations. Large numbers of fish species, including game fishes, have been collected in polluted waters where much lower concentrations were occurring regularly and even where concentrations not exceeding 4 mg/l apparently had persisted for a long time. Although some species may be eliminated, most warmwater species evidently will continue to inhabit such  $O_2$ -deficient waters if the water quality is not otherwise too unfavorable.

#### Food resources

Some species of fish-food organisms may be harmed by reduction of  $O_2$  to levels not inimical to the fish. However, more tolerant species are likely to become more abundant in waters that are enriched with putrescible organic

matter. When  $O_2$  deficits are not great enough to retard the growth of fish directly, over-all food resources of fish are not likely to be impaired by organic wastes having no harmful effects other than reduction of  $O_2$ . The evaluation of  $O_2$  requirements of fish-food organisms therefore is not essential to the estimation of  $O_2$  levels that must be maintained for protection of fisheries.

### General

There is evidently no concentration level or percentage of saturation to which the  $O_2$  content of natural fresh waters can be reduced without causing or risking some adverse effects on the reproduction or growth and production of fishes inhabiting these waters. Yet, large reductions are not incompatible with the continued existence of some valuable fisheries.

Water quality criteria on which regulatory standards designed for protection of fisheries in waters receiving wastes are to be based cannot be properly formulated without reference to the pertinent natural characteristics or condition of the waters and to desired levels of protection of fisheries. These levels of protection must be determined on the basis of socio-economic considerations. Attention to differences of waters in natural properties, such as  $O_2$  content, that vary over a wide range, is essential because of associated differences of fish faunas inhabiting the waters and differences in natural productivity of the waters.

## LETHAL LEVELS OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN

We have already remarked that the ranges of  $O_2$  concentration suitable for the maintenance of fishery resources in waters receiving organic wastes are not defined by concentration levels that are barely tolerable for the fishes to be protected. Indices of injury more sensitive than death of the fish must be relied upon in deciding what concentrations are acceptable. Not only are true tolerance thresholds (i. e., incipient lethal levels, or minimal concentrations tolerated indefinitely by 50% of the animals tested) of limited value as criteria, but they also have not often been determined reliably. Table 1 is a summary of selected information on lethal or minimum tolerable levels of  $O_2$  that we have abstracted from numerous publications and believe to be sufficiently comprehensive and representative of the available data. Before discussion of the tabulated material, the various methods that have been employed by the cited authors must be explained. Some reports of lethal effects of excessive  $O_2$  concentrations far above air-saturation levels will be considered only briefly and after the discussion of lethal low levels.

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937.

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Name	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> mg/l <sup>a</sup>	Deaths	Exposure <sup>b</sup>	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks <sup>c</sup>
<b>ACIPENSERIFORMES</b>							
<b>ACIPENSERIDAE</b>							
<u>Acipenser guldenstädti</u> Sturgeon, osetr	40-50 days	1.5-2.1*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	11-25	Lozinov (1952)	*Reported thresholds for loss of equilibrium
	40-50 days	2.7-2.8*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	28	Lozinov (1952)	*Same as above
	5-7 months	1.0-1.9*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	18-25	Lozinov (1952)	*Same as above
	1-39 days	1.4-1.8	Most*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	20	Korzhuev (1941)	*Fish immobilized
	20 days	1.6-1.7	-	-	-	Milshtein (1964)	Methods unknown
<u>Acipenser ruthenus</u> Sturgeon, sterlet	-	3.5	First	-	0	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
<u>Acipenser stellatus</u> Sturgeon, sevruga, stellate sturgeon	40-50 days	2.2-2.5*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	11-25	Lozinov (1952)	*Reported thresholds for loss of equilibrium
	40-50 days	2.5-3.1*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	27	Lozinov (1952)	*Same as above
	5-7 months	1.4-2.0*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	18-25	Lozinov (1952)	*Same as above
	1-39 days	2.0-2.4	Most*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	20	Korzhuev (1941)	*Fish immobilized
	20-50 days	2.1-2.4*	-	-	-	Milshtein (1964)	*Reported thresholds; methods unknown
	1 g	2.2-2.3*	-	-	21	Karzinkin (1942)	*Reported lethal thresholds; methods unknown
	1-2 days	2.7	>90%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	21-22	Konovalev (1961)	*Fish immobilized
	3-8 days	4.3-5.3	>90%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	18-21	Konovalev (1961)	*Fish immobilized
	10 days	2.2	>90%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	19	Konovalev (1961)	*Fish immobilized
	15-30 days	2.8-3.9	>90%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	23-25	Konovalev (1961)	*Fish immobilized
<u>Huso huso</u> Sturgeon, beluga	45-60 days	2.2-2.7	>90%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	22-24	Konovalev (1961)	*Fish immobilized
	20-50 days	1.3-1.6*	-	-	-	Milshtein (1964)	*Reported thresholds; methods unknown
<b>CLUPEIFORMES</b>							
<b>CLUPEIDAE</b>							
<u>Alosa sapidissima</u> American shad	8-11 cm	0.6-3.6	Mean.	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	17-19	Hoff et al. (1966)	See text (methods)

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> mg/l <sub>a</sub>	Deaths	Exposure b/ hours	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks <sup>c</sup>
<u>Alosa sapidissima</u> American shad	6-7 cm	0.9-1.4	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	21-23	Tagatz (1961)	First deaths at 1.0-1.6 mg/l O <sub>2</sub>
	6-7 cm	1.8-2.9*	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 42 hours		Tagatz (1961)	*Range of O <sub>2</sub> levels maintained after slow decline
<u>Dorosoma cepedianum</u> Gizzard shad	-	< 1.0*	Most	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	16	Hart (1945)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 25 mm Hg or less
SALMONIDAE							
<u>Coregonus albus</u> Whitefish, ripus	2.5 mo	1.6-2.4*	Mean	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15	Streltsova et al. (1964)	*Data of Shkrobatov. Mean lethal levels for fish from different lakes
	181-306 g	2.1-3.8*	Mean	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	13-14	Streltsova et al. (1964)	*Mean lethal levels for fish from different lakes
<u>Coregonus autumnalis</u> Whitefish, Baikal omul	larvae	1.3-1.5	First	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	-	Meshcheriakova and Cherniaev (1963)	
<u>Coregonus lavaretus</u> Ladoga whitefish	-	1.6-5.2	First	-	0	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
	2.5 mo	1.1-1.9*	Mean*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15	Streltsova et al. (1964)	*Data of Shkrobatov. Mean lethal levels for fish from different lakes
	232-488 g	1.0-1.8*	Mean*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15	Streltsova et al. (1964)	*Mean lethal levels for fish from different lakes
<u>Coregonus muksun</u> Whitefish, muksun	-	1.5-2.0	First	-	0	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
<u>Coregonus nasus</u> Broad whitefish	1 day	1.9*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12	Chernikova (1964)	*Cessation of opercular movement
	120 days	1.9*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12	Chernikova (1964)	*Same as above
	209 days	1.1*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	10	Chernikova (1964)	*Same as above
<u>Coregonus peled</u> Whitefish, peliad	-	1.0-1.5	First	-	0	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
<u>Oncorhynchus gorbuscha</u> Pink salmon	Fingerling	2.1*	-	-	17	Privolnev (1963)	*Reported threshold concentration; methods unknown
<u>Oncorhynchus keta</u> Chum salmon	Fingerling	2.0*	-	-	17	Privolnev (1963)	*Same as above

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> mg/l	Deaths	Exposure b/ 24 hours	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks c/
<u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u> Coho salmon	4-11 cm	1.1-1.7	0-83%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	12-20	Davison <i>et al.</i> (1959)	
	4-11 cm	1.5	15%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	22	Davison <i>et al.</i> (1959)	
	4-11 cm	2.1	3%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	24	Davison <i>et al.</i> (1959)	
	Juvenile	1.7-2.0*	0-90%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	20-22	McNeil (1956)	*CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations 3 to 20 mg/l
	3-4 g	1.1-1.3	71%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 18-25 hours	12-13	Townsend <i>et al.</i> (1938)	*Loss of equilibrium
	Yearling	1.2-1.6	50%*	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	14	Townsend and Earnest (1940)	*Loss of equilibrium
<u>Oncorhynchus nerka</u> Sockeye salmon	Adult	2.3-2.7	Most*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	21-23	Chapman (1940)	*Dead or lost equilibrium
<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u> Chinook salmon	Adult	2.3-2.7	Most*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	21	Chapman (1940)	*Dead or lost equilibrium
	Fingerling	1.7-1.8	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	20	Katz <i>et al.</i> (1959)	
<u>Salmo clarki</u> Cutthroat trout	11-17 cm	1.2-1.4	50%*	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 18-25 hours	11	Townsend <i>et al.</i> (1938)	*Loss of equilibrium
<u>Salmo gairdneri</u> Rainbow trout	6 mo	1.3-1.6*	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	13-20	Alabaster <i>et al.</i> (1957)	*Range of estimated values; no CO <sub>2</sub> added
	6 mo	2.6-2.7*	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	13-20	Alabaster <i>et al.</i> (1957)	*Range of estimated values; CO <sub>2</sub> concentration 30 mg/l
	Yearling	1.3-2.5	First*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	11-22	Burdick <i>et al.</i> (1954)	*Loss of equilibrium
	Yearling	1.1-1.8	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	11-22	Burdick <i>et al.</i> (1954)	*Loss of equilibrium
	Yearling	0.8-1.4	100%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	11-22	Burdick <i>et al.</i> (1954)	*Loss of equilibrium
	4.4 g	2.5	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	22-24	King (1943)	

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> mg/l	Deaths	Exposure b/ oC	Temp oC	Reference	Remarks <sup>2</sup>
<i>Salmo gairdneri</i> (Cont.) Rainbow trout	10 cm	2.9	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 3.5 days	10-20	Downing and Merckens (1957)	
	10 cm	2.4-3.1	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 7 days	16-20	Downing and Merckens (1957)	
	Juvenile	1.6-1.7*	50-70%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	16-20	McNeil (1956)	*CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations 3-8 mg/l
	Yearling	1.5-1.6	10%*	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 18-24 hours	11-13	Townsend <u>et al.</u> (1938)	*Loss of equilibrium
	Yearling	1.4 or less	100%*	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 18-24 hours	11-13	Townsend <u>et al.</u> (1938)	*Loss of equilibrium
	-	0.8-1.2	First	-	17	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
	2 yr	0.5-1.5*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15	Streltsova (1964)	*Fish acclimated to 3 and 19 mg/l O <sub>2</sub>
	88-235 mg	1.1-1.6*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15	Streltsova (1964)	*Same as above
	Newly-hatched	0.3*	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 5 days	7	Bishai (1960)	*Lowest tested O <sub>2</sub> level tolerated by all
	40 days	0.7*	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 2 days	5	Bishai (1960)	*Same as above
<i>Salmo salar</i> Atlantic salmon	80 days	2.8*	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 3 days	9	Bishai (1960)	*Same as above
	135 days	2.2*	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 2 days	16	Bishai (1960)	*Same as above
	3-6 g	0.7-1.6*	-	-	-	Nikiforov (1953)	*Range of lethal levels for individual fish; methods unknown
	7 cm	2.2*	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 5 days	8	Lindroth (1949)	*Not reported as a tolerance limit
	Fingerling	1.5*	-	-	15	Privolnev (1963)	*Reported threshold concentration; methods unknown
	Yearling	1.9*	-	-	16	Privolnev (1963)	*Same as above
	36 days	3.1-3.7	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15	Privolnev (1947)	
	107 days	1.2-1.3	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15	Privolnev (1947)	

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> mg/l <sup>a</sup>	Deaths	Exposure b/	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks <sup>c</sup>
<i>Salmo trutta</i> Brown trout	Yearling	1.6-2.8	First*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	9-21	Burdick <u>et al.</u> (1954)	*Loss of equilibrium
	Yearling	1.5-2.5	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	9-21	Burdick <u>et al.</u> (1954)	*Loss of equilibrium
	Yearling	1.3-2.3	100%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	9-21	Burdick <u>et al.</u> (1954)	*Loss of equilibrium
	-	1.1-3.3*	First	-	0	Privolnev (1954)	*Methods unknown
	Newly-hatched	0.3-0.6*	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 5 days	7	Bishai (1960)	*Lowest O <sub>2</sub> level tolerated by all
	40 days	1.2*	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 2 days	5	Bishai (1960)	*Same as above
<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i> Brook trout	2.9 g	3.2	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	22-24	King (1943)	
	80 days	1.6*	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 3 days	9	Bishai (1960)	*Same as above
	180 days	1.8*	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 2 days	16	Bishai (1960)	*Same as above
	17 g	<2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	17-20	Black <u>et al.</u> (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
	Yearling	2.0-3.4	First*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12-21	Burdick <u>et al.</u> (1954)	*Loss of equilibrium
	Yearling	1.6-2.6	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12-21	Burdick <u>et al.</u> (1954)	*Loss of equilibrium
	Yearling	1.2-1.7	Last*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12-21	Burdick <u>et al.</u> (1954)	*Loss of equilibrium
	27 g	above 2.5	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	12-23	Graham (1949)	
	27 g	below 1.9	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	12-23	Graham (1949)	
	Fingerling	1.0-1.8*	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 3.5 days	9	Shepard (1955)	*Estimated incipient lethal levels for varying acclimation O <sub>2</sub> levels

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Disolved O <sub>2</sub> mg/l	Deaths	Exposure b/ c	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks d/
<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u> (Cont.)							
Brook trout	4.5 g	2.3	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	21-23	King (1943)	Methods unknown
<u>Stenodus leucichthys</u>	-	4.0-4.5	First	-	0	Privolnev (1954)	
Inconnu	4.7 g	2.5-2.6*	-	-	21	Karzinkin (1942)	*Reported lethal threshold; methods unknown
<u>HIODONTIDAE</u>							
<u>Hiodon alosoides</u>	9.4 g	0.7-1.6*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	5	Hart (1968)	*Range of lethal levels for individual fish; CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 30 mm Hg or less
Goideye	9.4 g	1.2-1.5*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15	Hart (1968)	*Same as above
<u>ESOCIDAE</u>							
<u>Esox lucius</u>	-	3.1	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours*	15	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in summer
Pike, northern pike	-	2.3	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 48 hours*	4 or less	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in winter
-	-	0.2-0.5	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	0-20	Privolnev and Koroleva (1953)	
-	-	0.3-0.6	First	-	0	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
1-2 yr	-	0.5-1.6	About 50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15-25	Shkorbatov (1965)	*Water gradually replaced with low O <sub>2</sub> water; averages of individual lethal levels reported
-	-	0.7-1.4*	-	-	15-29	Privolnev (1964)	*Reported threshold concentrations; methods unknown
<u>CYPRINIFORMES</u>							
<u>CLARIIDAE</u>							
<u>Clarias batrachus</u>	51-54 g	2.5-2.9*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	21-23	Saxena (1960)	*Range of individual lethal levels; CO <sub>2</sub> concentration 185 mg/l or less; cessation of all respiratory movement
<u>CYPRINIDAE</u>							
<u>Abramis brama</u>	-	0.2-0.6	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	0-20	Privolnev and Koroleva (1953)	
Bream							

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> a/ mg/l	Deaths	Exposure <sup>b</sup>	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks <sup>c</sup>
<u>Abramis brama</u> (Cont.)							
Bream	-	0.4-0.5	First	-	0	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
	1-2 yr	0.5-1.6	About 50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15-25	Shkhorbatov (1965)	*Water gradually replaced with low O <sub>2</sub> water; averages of individual lethal levels reported
	1-4 mg	1.8-1.9*	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	16-20	Kuznetsova (1958)	*Loss of balance with cessation of respiratory movement (ambiguous)
	13-32 mg	1.1-1.6*	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	20-21	Kuznetsova (1958)	*Same as above
	107-262 mg	0.7-1.1*	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	21-22	Kuznetsova (1958)	*Same as above
<u>Agosia chrysogaster</u>	-	1.0	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	-	Lowe et al. (1967)	
Longfin dace							
<u>Camptostoma anomalum</u>	-	0.90	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	30	Baker (1941)	*Fish not allowed access to surface
Stoneroller	-	1.4	None	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	30	Baker (1941)	*Fish not allowed access to surface; test discontinued at 12 hours
<u>Carassius auratus</u>	1 yr	< 2.0	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	1-32	Fry et al. (1947)	CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-100 mm Hg
Goldfish	6 g	0.1	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 40 min	27-28	Basu (1949)	
	6 g	0.6	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 9 hours	27-28	Basu (1949)	
	6 g	1.0	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	21-27	Basu (1949)	
<u>Carassius carassius</u>	-	0.0*	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 2 months	5	Blazka (1958)	*Fish survived for only a few hours at 16°C
Crucian carp	-	0.1	First	-	0	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
<u>Catla catla</u>	8-9 g	0.7	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 1 hour	27-28	Basu (1949)	
Catla	10 g	1.0	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	27-28	Basu (1949)	
<u>Chrosomus sos.</u>	2.3 g	< 2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	20	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
Northern redbelly dace							

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> , mg/l	Deaths	Exposure <sup>b/</sup>	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks <sup>c/</sup>
<u>Chrosomus neogaeus</u>	4, 2 g	< 1.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	18-21	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
<u>Finescale dace</u>							
<u>Cirrhina mirigala</u>	8-10 g	0.7	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 1 hour	27-28	Basu (1949)	
<u>Mrigal</u>	8 g	0.8	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	21-27	Basu (1949)	
<u>Ctenopharyngodon idella</u>	1.8-78 g	0.2-0.6*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12-18	Opuszynski (1967)	*Range of individual lethal levels; cessation of respiratory movement
<u>Grass carp</u>							
<u>Cyprinus carpio</u>	8 cm	0.4-0.8	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 1 day	10-20	Downing and Merkens (1957)	
<u>Carp</u>	8 cm	0.4-1.2	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 7 days	10-16	Downing and Merkens (1957)	
	8 cm	2.8	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 7 days	20	Downing and Merkens (1957)	
	-	0.2-0.3	First	-	0	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
	2 yr	0.3-0.8*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	5-8	Streltsova (1964)	*Lethal O <sub>2</sub> level varied with acclimation to various O <sub>2</sub> levels
	0.5-79 g	0.2-0.7*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12-18	Opuszynski (1967)	*Range of individual lethal levels; cessation of respiratory movement
<u>Hybognathus hankinsoni</u>	1.6-10 mg	1.1-1.3	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	21-22	Kuznetsova (1958)	*Loss of balance with cessation of respiratory movement (ambiguous)
<u>Brassy minnow</u>	245-658 mg	0.6-0.7	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	19	Kuznetsova (1958)	*Same as above
<u>Hybognathus placitus</u>	4.1 g	< 2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	18-20	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
<u>Plains minnow</u>	2.7 cm	1.0	None*	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 18 hours	18-26	Whitworth and Irwin (1961)	*Also in tests with declining O <sub>2</sub>
<u>Hypophthalmichthys molitrix</u>	1-23 g	0.3-1.1*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12-16	Opuszynski (1967)	*Range of individual lethal levels; cessation of respiratory movement
<u>Silver carp</u>							

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> mg/l $\bar{a}$	Deaths	Exposure b/ c	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks c/
<u>Labo batia</u> Bata	10 g	0.7	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 65 min	27-28	Basu (1949)	
	8 g	0.8	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	21-27	Basu (1949)	
<u>Labo rohita</u> Rohu	11 g	0.7	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 1 hour	27-28	Basu (1949)	
	6 g	0.9	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	21-27	Basu (1949)	
<u>Leuciscus cephalus</u> Chub	13 cm	1.1	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 3.5 days	20	Downing and Mertens (1957)	
<u>Leuciscus idus</u> Ide	-	0.5	First	-	0	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
<u>Leuciscus leuciscus</u> Dace	11 cm	1.6	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 7 days	20	Downing and Mertens (1957)	
<u>Notemigonus crysoleucas</u> Golden shiner	-	1.4	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 48 hours*	4 or less	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in winter
	-	<1.0*	Most	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15-16	Hart (1945)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 60 mm Hg or less
<u>Notropis cornutus</u> Common shiner	1-2 yr	1.4-6.2	First*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12-27	Cooper (1960)	*Loss of equilibrium
	1-2 yr	0.5-1.0	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12-27	Cooper (1960)	*Loss of equilibrium
	1-2 yr	0.4-0.6	100%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12-27	Cooper (1960)	*Loss of equilibrium
	27.5 g	<2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	17-22	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
<u>Notropis girardi</u> Arkansas River shiner	2-4 cm	1.0	None*	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 18 hours	18-26	Whitworth and Irwin (1961)	*Also in tests with declining O <sub>2</sub>
<u>Notropis heterolepis</u> Blacknose shiner	2.2 g	<2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	19-20	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
<u>Notropis whippiei</u> Steelcolor shiner	5 cm	1.0	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	20-26	Wilding (1939)	*Loss of equilibrium; values obtained by interpolation from graph
<u>Pimephales notatus</u> Bluntnose minnow	4 cm	0.8-1.3	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	7-24	Wilding (1939)	*Same as above

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> , mg/l	Deaths	Exposure b/ Temp °C	Reference	Remarks
<u>Pimephales promelas</u> Fathead minnow	3.9 g	<2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Black <u>et al.</u> (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
	3.6 cm	1.0	None*	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 18 hours	Whitworth and Irwin (1961)	*Also in tests with declining O <sub>2</sub>
<u>Ptychocheilus oregonensis</u> Northern squawfish	Adult	1.4	14%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Chapman (1940)	Loss of equilibrium
<u>Rainichthys osculus</u> Speckled dace	-	1.5	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Lowe <u>et al.</u> (1967)	
<u>Rutilus rutilus</u> Roach	10 cm	0.4-0.6	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 7 days	Downing and Merkens (1957)	
	10 cm	1.2	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 7 days	Downing and Merkens (1957)	
	-	0.7	First	-	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
	Adult	0.6*	-	-	Privolnev (1963)	*Reported threshold concentration; methods unknown
	Adult	1.6*	-	-	Privolnev (1963)	*Same as above
	-	0.1-0.4	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Privolnev and Koroleva (1953)	
	2-3 yr	0.4-2.2	About 50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Shkorbatov (1965)	*Water gradually replaced with low O <sub>2</sub> waters; averages of individual lethal levels reported
<u>Semotilus atromaculatus</u> Creek chub	2-3 yr	<2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Black <u>et al.</u> (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
<u>Semotilus margarita</u> Pearl dace	5.3	<2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Black <u>et al.</u> (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
<u>Tinca tinca</u> Tench	7.5 cm	0.2-0.4	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub>	Downing and Merkens (1957)	
	-	0.2*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Lozinov (1952)	*Reported threshold for loss of equilibrium
	-	0.6-1.5	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Lozinov (1952)	Same as above
	-	0.1-0.2	First	-	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> mg/l <sub>a</sub>	Deaths	Exposure <sup>b</sup>	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks <sup>c</sup>
<b>HETEROPNEUSTIDAE</b>							
<u>Heteropneustes fossilis</u>	36-38 g	1.9-2.2*	-	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	21-23	Saxena (1960)	*Range of individual lethal levels; CO <sub>2</sub> concentration 170 mg/l or less; cessation of all respiratory movement
<b>CATOSTOMIDAE</b>							
<u>Catostomus clarki</u> Gila sucker	-	0.5	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	-	Lowe et al. (1967)	
<u>Catostomus columbianus</u> Bridgelip sucker	Adult	1.4	6%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	23	Chapman (1940)	Loss of equilibrium
<u>Catostomus commersoni</u> White sucker	265 g	<2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	17-18	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
<u>Erimyzon sucetta</u> Lake chubsucker	-	<1.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	19-21	Hart (1945)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 40 mm Hg or less
<b>ICTALURIDAE</b>							
<u>Ictalurus catus</u> White catfish	-	<1.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12-16	Hart (1945)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 100 mm Hg or less
<u>Ictalurus melas</u> Black bullhead	-	3.0	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> * 24 hours	22	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in summer
	-	0.3	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> * 48 hours	4 or less	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in winter
<u>Ictalurus nebulosus</u> Brown bullhead	36 g	<1.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	19-22	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
	-	<1.0*	Most	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12-16	Hart (1945)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 100 mm Hg or less
<u>Ictalurus punctatus</u> Channel catfish	Juvenile	1.0-1.1*	-	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	25-35	Moss and Scott (1961)	*Estimated average tolerance limits for "normal" fish
	Juvenile	2.0*	-	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	30	Moss and Scott (1961)	*Estimated average tolerance limits for "excessively fat", overfed fish
	Juvenile	0.8-0.9*	-	Gradually declining O <sub>2</sub> , reduced daily	25-35	Moss and Scott (1961)	*Estimated average 24-hr tolerance limits

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> a/ mg/l	Deaths	Exposure b/ hours	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks c/
<b>CYPRINODONTIFORMES</b>							
<b>CYPRINODONTIDAE</b>							
<u>Cyprinodon macularius</u> Desert pupfish	-	0.2	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	-	Lowe et al. (1967)	
<u>Fundulus diaphanus</u> Banded killifish	-	0.9	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> *	4 or less	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in winter
<b>POECILIIDAE</b>							
<u>Gambusia affinis</u> Mosquitofish	2-6 cm	1.0	None*	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 18 hours	18-26	Whitworth and Irwin (1961)	*Also in tests with declining O <sub>2</sub>
<u>Lebistes reticulatus</u> Guppy	0.6-3 cm	1.0	None*	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 18 hours	18-26	Whitworth and Irwin (1961)	*Same as above
<b>GADIFORMES</b>							
<b>GADIDAE</b>							
<u>Lota lota</u> Burbot	830 g	< 2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	12-18	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
	-	1.4-3.2	First	-	0	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
<b>GASTEROSTEIFORMES</b>							
<b>GASTEROSTEIDAE</b>							
<u>Eucalia inconstans</u> Brook stickleback	0.6 g	< 2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	20-23	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
<b>PERCIFORMES</b>							
<b>CENTRARCHIDAE</b>							
<u>Ambloplites rupestris</u> Rock bass	-	2.3	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> * 48 hours	4 or less	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in winter
<u>Chaenobryttus gulosus</u> Warmouth	13 cm	0.4-1.6	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	21-32	Baker (1941)	*Fish not allowed access to surface
	13 cm	0.7-1.3	None	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	21-32	Baker (1941)	*Fish allowed access to surface; tests discontinued at 6 to 20 hours

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> mg/l <sup>a</sup>	Deaths	Exposure <sup>b</sup> / hours	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks <sup>c</sup>
<u>Lepomis cyanellus</u> Green sunfish	-	1.5	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 48 hours*	4 or less	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in winter
<u>Lepomis gibbosus</u> Pumpkinseed	-	3.1	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours*	15	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in summer
	-	0.9	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 48 hours*	4 or less	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in winter
	24 g	< 2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	19-21	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
<u>Lepomis humilis</u> Orangespotted sunfish	7.6 g	0.9-1.1	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	25-28	Baker (1941)	*Fish not allowed access to surface
	7.6 g	0.2	None	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	22-23	Baker (1941)	*Fish allowed access to surface; test discontinued at 24 hours
	-	1.4	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 48 hours*	4 or less	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in winter
<u>Lepomis macrochirus</u> Bluegill	2-6 cm	0.6-1.1	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	24-30	Baker (1941)	*Fish not allowed access to surface
	2-7 cm	0.5-1.0	None	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	24-29	Baker (1941)	*Fish allowed access to surface; test discontinued at 12 to 24 hours
	5 cm	0.9	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	30	Baker (1941)	*Fish allowed access to surface
	Juvenile	0.5	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	20	McNeil (1956)	
	6-20 g	0.8-1.2*	-	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	25-35	Moss and Scott (1961)	*Estimated average tolerance limits
	6-20 g	0.7-0.9*	-	Gradually declining O <sub>2</sub> , reduced daily	25-35	Moss and Scott (1961)	*Estimated average 24 hour tolerance limits
	-	3.1	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours*	15	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in summer
	-	0.3	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 48 hours*	4 or less	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in winter
	-	< 1.0*	Most	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15-16	Hart (1945)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 25 mm Hg

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> a/ mg/l	Deaths	Exposure b/	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks c/
<u>Lepomis microlophus</u> Redear sunfish	24 g	< 1.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	20-21	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
<u>Lepomis punctatus</u> Spotted sunfish	-	0.9	None	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	25	Baker (1941)	*Fish allowed access to surface: test discontinued at 11 hours
<u>Micropterus dolomieu</u> Smallmouth bass	-	1.4	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	21-25	Baker (1941)	*Fish not allowed access to surface
	255 g	< 2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	15-25	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
	4 g	0.9-1.6	First*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	11-27	Burdick et al. (1954)	*Loss of equilibrium
	4 g	0.6-1.2	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	11-27	Burdick et al. (1954)	*Loss of equilibrium
	4 g	0.5-1.0	100%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	11-27	Burdick et al. (1954)	*Loss of equilibrium
<u>Micropterus salmoides</u> Largemouth bass	4-14 g	0.9-1.4*	-	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours	25-35	Moss and Scott (1961)	*Estimated average tolerance limits
	4-14 g	0.8-1.2*	-	Gradually declining O <sub>2</sub> , reduced daily	25-25	Moss and Scott (1961)	*Estimated average 24-hour tolerance limits
	-	3.1	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours*	15	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in summer
	-	2.3	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 48 hours*	4 or less	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in winter
<u>Pomoxis annularis</u> White crappie	-	< 1.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	12-16	Hart (1945)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 50 mm Hg or less
	23 cm	0.4-0.5	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	27	Baker (1941)	*Fish not allowed access to surface
	23 cm	0.4	50%	Declining O <sub>2</sub> *	27	Baker (1941)	*Fish allowed access to surface
<u>Pomoxis nigromaculatus</u> Black crappie	-	4.3	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours*	26	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in summer
	-	1.4	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 48 hours*	4 or less	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in winter
	-	1.0*	Most	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	16	Hart (1945)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 30 mm Hg or less

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> a/ mg/l a/	Deaths	Exposure b/ Temp °C	Reference	Remarks <sup>c</sup>
<b>PERCIDAE</b>						
<u>Acerina cernua</u> Ruffe	-	0.2-0.4	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Privolnev and Koroleva (1953)	
<u>Lucioperca lucioperca</u> Zander	0.3 mg	5.0-6.5	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Kuznetsova (1958)	*Loss of balance with cessation of respiratory movements (ambiguous)
	0.7-11 mg	3.2-4.8	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Kuznetsova (1958)	*Same as above
	358-370 mg	1.4-1.9	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Kuznetsova (1958)	*Same as above
	1130-1725 mg	1.3-1.4	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Kuznetsova (1958)	*Same as above
	-	0.5-0.8	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Privolnev and Koroleva (1953)	
	-	0.5	First	-	Privolnev (1954)	Methods unknown
<u>Perca flavescens</u> Yellow perch	78 g	<2.0*	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Black et al. (1954)	*CO <sub>2</sub> tensions 0-40 mm Hg
	89-99 g	0.5-0.8	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Burdick et al. (1957)	*Loss of equilibrium
	-	3.1	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours*	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in summer
	-	1.5	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 48 hours*	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in winter
	7.6 cm	0.9-1.1	50%*	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Wilding (1939)	*Loss of equilibrium; values obtained by interpolation from graph
<u>Perca fluviatilis</u> Perch	10 cm	0.5-1.2	50%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 7 days	Downing and Merckens (1957)	
	Fingerling	0.7-1.9	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Lozinov (1952)	
	Yearling	0.4-0.9	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Lozinov (1952)	
	-	0.2-0.4	100%	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Privolnev and Koroleva (1953)	
	-	0.2-0.6	First	Declining O <sub>2</sub>	Privolnev and Koroleva (1953)	

Table 1. Summary of lethal levels of dissolved oxygen published after the year 1937 (Cont.)

Species of Fish Scientific and Common Names	Age or Size	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> a/ mg/l	Deaths	Exposure b/ 24 hours*	Temp °C	Reference	Remarks c/
<u>Percu fluviatilis</u> (Cont.) Perch	Adult	0.4*	-	-	15	Privolnev (1963)	*Reported threshold concentration, methods unknown
SCIAENIDAE							
<u>Aplodinotus grunniens</u> Freshwater drum	Adult	1.4	-	-	25	Privolnev (1963)	Same as above
	-	4.3	100%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 24 hours*	26	Moore (1942)	*Fish held in a cage submerged in a lake in summer
COTTIDAE							
<u>Cottus perplexus</u> Reticulate sculpin	4-7 cm	1.4	80%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 5 days	18-19	Davison et al. (1959)	
	4-7 cm	1.5	40%	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 5 days	18-19	Davison et al. (1959)	
	4-7 cm	1.6	None	Constant O <sub>2</sub> 5 days	18-19	Davison et al. (1959)	

a/ The symbol < preceding an O<sub>2</sub> concentration value in this column indicates that several or numerous lethal O<sub>2</sub> concentrations reported were all less than (often much less than) the value shown.

b/ "Declining O<sub>2</sub>" signifies gradual reduction of O<sub>2</sub>; unless otherwise noted under Remarks, O<sub>2</sub> was reduced by respiration of test fish.

c/ The asterisk (\*) is used to indicate to which item or items in the columns at the left the remark pertains or is most pertinent.

### Methods of evaluation of tolerance limits

Published lower limits of  $O_2$  concentration tolerated by fish can be separated into two major classes according to the methods employed for their determination. One of these categories includes all values determined by exposing fish to continuously declining  $O_2$  concentrations until the fish succumb. The other includes all values determined by exposing fish to a number of constant  $O_2$  concentrations after more or less rapid reduction of the dissolved  $O_2$  from the level to which the fish were accustomed.

The first method mentioned has been used extensively because of its relative simplicity. The simplest and most common procedure is to place one or more fish in standing water in a suitable container, usually a stoppered bottle or other sealed vessel full of water, but sometimes an open jar or aquarium. As  $O_2$  is withdrawn from the water by the respiring fish, the animals are observed, and as soon as possible after their apparent death (i.e. cessation of respiratory and other movements), the  $O_2$  concentration is determined. If several fish are placed in each sealed vessel and the  $O_2$  concentration is determined only after all (or most) have died, the values so obtained are, of course, the  $O_2$  levels that proved lethal to the most (or more) resistant individuals in the groups. The lethal  $O_2$  concentrations determined by this method are sometimes referred to as residual levels, but probably more often have been called "thresholds", somewhat inappropriately.

One variant or modification of the above procedure is the determination of remaining dissolved  $O_2$  at the time of permanent loss of equilibrium or

"overturning" of the fish, rather than their complete immobilization. This  $O_2$  level is higher, of course, than that attained when respiratory movements cease and the fish obviously cannot remain alive much longer. However, investigators have assumed, probably correctly, that the  $O_2$  level at which equilibrium is lost would invariably prove lethal if it were maintained by somehow preventing further reduction of the dissolved  $O_2$ . Accordingly, some authors have referred to such levels as lethal levels in reporting test results, even though nothing resembling death had actually been observed at the time of their determination. Sampling of the water for determination of dissolved  $O_2$  at the time of immobilization or overturning of the first one, or of some fixed percentage (e. g., 50%), or of each of several fish confined together in a vessel is a common modification of the first procedure described, or of the above variant. When repeated  $O_2$  determinations are made, the water removed for each sample from a sealed vessel can be replaced and the vessel then sealed again.

For subjecting fish to progressively declining  $O_2$  concentrations, some investigators have resorted to means of  $O_2$  reduction other than the respiration of the test animals. For example, the water in test chambers has been gradually replaced with deoxygenated water. The rate of reduction of  $O_2$  thus could be better controlled and a large increase of the free  $CO_2$  content of the water avoided. Water deoxygenated by bubbling nitrogen ( $N_2$ ) through it, or by boiling, and naturally  $O_2$ -deficient waters have been used in such experiments and in experiments in which constant  $O_2$  levels have been maintained.

Fish sometimes have been exposed to fairly constant  $O_2$  levels by confining them in cages which were then suspended at varying depths in lakes with vertical  $O_2$  gradients. The apparent unreliability of some results obtained by this method will be discussed later. Usually, exposure of fish to constant  $O_2$  levels has been accomplished by holding the test animals in continuously renewed (flowing) water with  $O_2$  content adjusted to the desired levels, most often by means of  $N_2$ . The fish have been subjected to the reduced  $O_2$  levels suddenly, by transfer from well-oxygenated to  $O_2$ -deficient water, or only after gradual replacement of their initially well-oxygenated medium with water whose  $O_2$  content had been reduced to the desired, constant level.

The results of tests at constant  $O_2$  levels have been variously reported. From recorded individual survival times of the fish at several  $O_2$  levels, some investigators have computed mean or median survival times, which could then be plotted against  $O_2$  concentrations in graphs. Others have determined percentages of fish surviving for one or more fixed exposure periods (often 24 hours) at different  $O_2$  levels that proved lethal within these periods to more than 50% and less than 50% of the test animals. From these data, median tolerance limits of  $O_2$  concentration for the fixed exposure periods have been derived by interpolation. True thresholds of tolerance, or incipient lethal levels of  $O_2$ , levels that can be tolerated indefinitely by only 50% of the test animals, have not usually been determined or reliably estimated. The results of some of the laboratory studies that have been reported are difficult to summarize, because of complexity or faulty design of the experiments.

The  $O_2$  concentrations at which death occurs when fish are subjected to progressively and fairly rapidly declining concentrations doubtless can be much lower than the true thresholds of tolerance of the fish. Tolerance limits determined by exposing fish for about a day to constant  $O_2$  concentrations certainly can be more meaningful, for fish can continue to live and extract  $O_2$  from their medium for some time after a lethal level has been reached. However, minimum tolerable levels determined by either method can be lower or higher than the true thresholds, we believe. As will be seen later, even 24-hour exposures to reduced  $O_2$  levels may not be sufficient to produce maximal effects. But fish that have been recently captured or handled and confined in test chambers to which they are not accustomed, or subjected to a sudden or rapid reduction of  $O_2$ , can be reasonably expected to have abnormally high metabolic rates and  $O_2$  requirements. The different sources of error mentioned cannot be expected always to cancel each other, but they can be mutually compensating. Precautions taken to eliminate a source of possible error therefore can sometimes increase the inaccuracy of an observed threshold value. Numerous variables, such as the rate of reduction of  $O_2$  concentration, the size of test vessels, the extent to which the test animals are accustomed to the test conditions, and their excitability, presumably can influence the outcome of a lethality test. The rate of decline of  $O_2$  in sealed vessels itself depends on the volume of the vessels, the size and number of fish placed in each vessel, and the metabolic rate of the fish, which varies with the temperature. The published results of lethality tests thus are not often entirely comparable, and the practical significance of most of them is questionable.

The effects of recent handling and confinement of fish on their resistance to  $O_2$  deficiency have not been thoroughly investigated, but there is evidence that these effects can be important. Hoff, Chittenden, and Westman (1966) reported some pertinent results of laboratory experiments in which young American shad, Alosa sapidissima, taken from large holding tanks, were exposed to declining  $O_2$  concentrations in glass aquaria, and the levels at which individual fish died were recorded. These authors observed a tendency of the highly variable lethal levels to decrease with increase of the duration of "acclimation" or holding of the fish in the test vessels before the beginning of withdrawal of  $O_2$ . The highest lethal level, 3.6 mg/l, was recorded when the preliminary acclimation period was only two hours and the  $O_2$  concentration was reduced thereafter (by means of  $N_2$ ) quite rapidly. The lowest lethal level, 0.6 mg/l, was recorded when the preliminary acclimation period was 20 hours and  $O_2$  was withdrawn (by means of sodium sulfite) much less rapidly. Unfortunately, these interesting experiments were not numerous enough and not sufficiently uniform to establish definitely the indicated relation between preliminary acclimation time and lethal  $O_2$  levels. Indeed, in the only experiment (with few fish) that apparently was specially designed to test the influence of acclimation time, which ranged from 2 to 48 hours, no material difference of mean lethal  $O_2$  levels was observed. Still, we think that it is reasonable to conclude that the great susceptibility to  $O_2$  deficiency of shad that died at  $O_2$  levels five to six times as great as those at which the more resistant individuals succumbed was unnatural. Frequently, one or two of the excitable fish died in the test aquaria soon after their transfer to the test aquaria

and before their subjection to reduced  $O_2$  levels. Certainly many or all of the fish, and not only those that died during the acclimation periods, were under great stress for some time after their introduction into the test aquaria. Ellis et al. (1947) reported that some deaths of juvenile American shad occurred even at  $O_2$  levels above 5 mg/l when the fish were subjected to rather rapid reduction of the  $O_2$  concentration at temperatures ranging from 16° to 20°C. Yet, in tests at somewhat higher temperatures and with somewhat slower reduction of  $O_2$  concentration, Tagatz (1961) observed no deaths at  $O_2$  levels above 1.8 mg/l.

Another source of possible error in laboratory tests is undetected contamination of water with some toxic substances, such as those that may come from pipes and fittings made of toxic metals or from rubber tubing commonly used in laboratories (Herrmann, Warren, and Doudoroff, 1962). At a given temperature, fish are unlikely to tolerate in the laboratory  $O_2$  levels that are intolerable under natural conditions in the absence of toxic pollutants. They may often die in the laboratory, however, at  $O_2$  levels that would be tolerated under the natural conditions. Unusually high lethal thresholds reported in the literature must therefore always be regarded with suspicion until they have been fully verified.

When the  $O_2$  content of water in aquaria or in nature approaches a level that is lethal for fish, fish often rise to the water surface and gulp air. In most experiments designed for the determination of minimum tolerable levels of  $O_2$ , fish have been prevented from reaching an air-water interface, but in others they have not. In comparative experiments in which  $O_2$  concentrations were progressively reduced, fish that had access to such an interface at the water surface

lived longer than those that did not, and so they died at lower  $O_2$  levels (Baker, 1941). In nature, some fish are known to inhabit waters in which they would very soon perish of anoxia if prevented from reaching the surface. Minimum  $O_2$  levels tolerated by fish that are not permitted to gulp air are not meaningless. They should be distinguished, however, from the lowest measured  $O_2$  levels in water in which fish survived by gulping air or remaining most of the time just below the surface film.

The manner of evaluation of reported tolerance "thresholds" has not always been adequately described. Some authors have neglected to provide any information about the methods employed, and not many have provided complete information about the experimental conditions and material. In Table 1 we have briefly summarized the most important available information of this nature, but all of it obviously could not be included. Although data that have been published with very little or no such information are of little value, they have been included in Table 1 so as to reveal adequately the variety of data to be found in recent literature. Data on  $O_2$  concentrations found to be lethal for fish embryos are not included. These are to be found in the section of this treatise that deals with embryonic development.

#### General survey of reported tolerance limits

The extremely diverse data presented in Table 1 are not easy to interpret. They show that freshwater fish species differ widely in tolerance of  $O_2$  deficiency in their medium. One can also see, however, that fishes cannot be readily

classified, on the basis of the available information, according to their relative resistance to low levels of  $O_2$ . Such classification is difficult because the data are not sufficiently comparable and because of frequent, serious disagreement of data pertaining to the same species.

Results of experiments in which fish have been exposed for about 1 day or longer to constant  $O_2$  concentrations would appear to be more instructive and reliable, as a general rule, than those of tests of relatively brief duration in which  $O_2$  concentrations declined continuously. For reasons already explained, proper use of the former method usually should result in less underestimation of  $O_2$  levels required by fish for prolonged survival in nature than does reliance on the latter method.

Data from experiments with 40 species of fish that have been exposed to more or less constant reduced  $O_2$  concentrations for 18 hours or longer to test their ability to survive at these levels are included in Table 1. Thirteen of these 40 species were tested in this manner by Moore (1942), and only two of the 13 have been so tested also by other cited authors. Moore's results have been widely cited as data indicating high dissolved  $O_2$  requirements of fishes for survival under field conditions (at least 3.5 mg/l at summer temperatures), but they are clearly misleading. Levels of  $O_2$  reported by him to be lethal to fish at moderate temperatures within 24 hours can be said definitely to be unreliable, or much too high.

Moore placed his fish in cages which were then suspended in water of varying  $O_2$  content at different depths within or below the thermocline of a lake.

Although summer temperatures there were moderate, fish died in the cages within 24 hours at  $O_2$  levels now well known to be easily tolerated by the same species for long periods even at much higher temperatures. For example, Moore reported that largemouth bass and bluegills, Lepomis macrochirus, all died within 24 hours at an  $O_2$  level of 3.1 mg/l in water with temperature of  $15^{\circ}\text{C}$ . We have worked much with juvenile largemouth bass and have found them to be very tolerant of  $O_2$  deficiency. They not only survived for weeks but also grew, and they swam continuously for 24 hours at a fairly high speed in summer, at  $O_2$  levels near 2 mg/l and temperatures near  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Moss and Scott (1961), in their well-designed laboratory tests, found the levels lethal in 24 hours for both largemouth bass and bluegills at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$  to be below 1 mg/l, even when the reduction of  $O_2$  concentration was fairly rapid. As we shall see later, the limits of tolerance generally tend to increase, not to decrease, with rise of temperature, and Moore's own data agree with this generalization. Moore's results obtained in winter, when the lakes were covered with ice and water temperatures did not exceed  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ , also are not in good agreement with observations such as those of Cooper and Washburn (1949) on  $O_2$  levels and survival and natural mortalities of fish in lakes under ice and snow cover. Especially notable are the conclusions of Cooper and Washburn that the threshold level for largemouth bass in nature is about 0.6 mg/l, and those for northern pike and yellow perch, Perca flavescens, are about 0.4 to 0.3 mg/l under the natural conditions in winter. In contrast, winter lethal levels reported by Moore for these three species are 2.3, 2.3, and 1.5 mg/l, respectively. We realize, however, that Moore's fish were exposed to low  $O_2$  concentrations much

more suddenly and probably at a slightly higher temperature than were the fish in their natural environments just beneath the ice, where  $O_2$  levels decline slowly. The influence of prolonged acclimation to low levels of  $O_2$  on the resistance of fish to lower levels will be discussed later. Moore's findings are not unique. He lists some lethal levels of  $O_2$  derived by recalculation from previously published data which were obtained by essentially the same method as his and are shown to be in general agreement with his. Some of these values, namely, 3.7 mg/l for the yellow perch at  $11^{\circ}C$ , and 3.4 mg/l for the black bullhead, Ictalurus melas, at  $16^{\circ}C$  are even higher than Moore's. However, these data, supporting Moore's findings, only indicate to us the unreliability of his method. We cannot explain his results and we do not know just what was wrong with his experiments. In view of all the contradictory evidence, however, we cannot accept as valid Moore's conclusions concerning  $O_2$  concentrations necessary for survival of the species tested. Reported free  $CO_2$  levels in the lake waters were not high. Recent capture and handling of the fish before their perhaps too sudden exposure to tested  $O_2$  levels may have been largely responsible for their low resistance. Pressure changes may have had some effect on the fish, but Burdick (1958) was able to demonstrate no effect of increased hydrostatic pressure on asphyxial levels of  $O_2$  for brown trout, Salmo trutta.

Table 1 shows that tolerance tests in which fish were exposed for 18 hours or longer to constant  $O_2$  concentrations under controlled laboratory conditions have been performed by cited authors with 29 different species of fish. With only one possible exception noted below, either the indicated median

tolerance limits of  $O_2$  for exposure periods of about 1 day (18 to 24 hours) did not exceed 2.0 mg/l, or the reduced  $O_2$  concentrations necessary to kill any of the fish within a day were found to be less than 3.0 mg/l. Tests with rainbow trout, Salmo gairdneri, at a high concentration of free  $CO_2$  (30 mg/l) to which the fish were exposed suddenly (Alabaster, Herbert, and Hemens, 1957) must be excepted. The 24-hour median tolerance limits obtained in these tests were 2.6-2.7 mg/l, and the lowest  $O_2$  level at which no deaths were observed is unknown. We can conclude from the results of the laboratory tests at constant levels of  $O_2$  with 29 species of fish that  $O_2$  concentrations lethal for fish within 1 day are generally well below 3.0 mg/l, exceeding this value only rarely, if ever, and then only slightly.

Results of some 7-day tests with rainbow trout and mirror carp, Cyprinus carpio, performed by Downing and Merkens (1957) indicate that reduced  $O_2$  levels above 3.0 mg/l can be lethal to these fish when they are exposed to the low levels for long periods at moderate temperatures. However, the significance of these results is uncertain and will be considered more fully later, in discussing the relation of lethal levels to exposure time. The 7-day median tolerance limits indicated by the data of Downing and Merkens for other species of fish tested by them are all well below 2.0 mg/l.

Many of the important species of fish listed in Table 1 have not been tested at constant  $O_2$  concentrations. Most of the results of laboratory tests summarized there were obtained otherwise. Therefore, we must now see what can be learned by careful examination of all of these data, including the results of the numerous

tests in which  $O_2$  concentrations were continuously declining. Again disregarding Moore's (1942) obviously misleading results obtained in the field, we find that 86 of the 90 species listed in Table 1 have been tested by others, and that levels of  $O_2$  exceeding 2.2 mg/l have been reported at least once to have proved lethal for 18 of these 86 species. However, the lethal levels above 2.2 mg/l given in Table 1 for four of these 18 species, namely, the sturgeon, Acipenser ruthenus, the Ladoga whitefish, Coregonus lavaretus, the common shiner, Notropis cornutus and the burbot, Lota lota, are only  $O_2$  levels at which first deaths were recorded. These values are not very instructive, because the first deaths observed may not actually have been due primarily to  $O_2$  deficiency; perhaps no other deaths occurred at levels above 2.2 mg/l. Cooper (1960) recorded first deaths of the common shiners, Notropis cornutus, at  $O_2$  levels ranging widely from 1.4 to 6.2 mg/l, whereas the median lethal levels determined by him ranged from 0.5 to 1.0 mg/l. Surely, the death observed at the 6.2 mg/l level cannot be reasonably attributed to  $O_2$  deficiency as a primary cause. Saxena's (1960) observations on the tropical, air-breathing fish Clarias batrachus are hardly pertinent to the present discussion. The reported lethal levels of  $O_2$  were said (perhaps erroneously) to have been associated with exceedingly high levels of  $CO_2$ .

Of the remaining 13 species for which lethal levels of  $O_2$  above 2.2 mg/l have been reported, 10 are either sturgeons (two species) or salmonids (eight species). Threshold levels above 2.2 mg/l for young Acipenser güldenstädti (2.7-2.8 mg/l) were obtained only in tests at the rather high temperature of 28°C; at temperatures

not above 25°C, the thresholds were less than 2.2 mg/l (Lozinov, 1952). Young Acipenser stellatus appear to be somewhat more sensitive, but only Konovalov (1961) reported threshold levels above 2.5 mg/l for this species at temperatures not exceeding 25°C. His values range from 2.2 to 5.3 mg/l. It is not clear why his threshold values for fish less than 1 month old are so much higher than those reported by Korzhuev (1941), whose method and test temperatures were much like his. Konovalov's values for older fish are not much different from those reported by other investigators.

Lethal levels of O<sub>2</sub> above 2.2 mg/l other than those at which only the first deaths (or single deaths) were observed have been reported for the whitefish, Coregonus albula, adult sockeye salmon, Oncorhynchus nerka, adult (but not fingerling) chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, young Atlantic salmon, rainbow trout, brown trout, brook trout, and the inconnu, Stenodus leucichthys. These relatively high values, except some of those that have been reported for the first-named species, for Atlantic salmon, and for rainbow and brown trout, do not exceed 2.7 mg/l, however, and were determined at temperatures which are rather high for salmonid fishes (mostly above 20°C) or at a high level of free CO<sub>2</sub> (about 30 mg/l) to which the fish were not accustomed. The only reported observation of death of brown trout, other than the first death, at O<sub>2</sub> levels above 3.0 mg/l (King, 1943) was made at a high temperature (near 24°C) and perhaps a high level of free CO<sub>2</sub>; the reported free CO<sub>2</sub> level of 26 mg/l is deemed unreliable (Doudoroff and Katz, 1950). We can offer no satisfactory explanation for the unusually high thresholds, well above 3.0 mg/l, reported by

Downing and Merkens (1957) for rainbow trout (at 16°C), by Privolnev (1947) for Atlantic salmon 36 days old, and by Streltsova (1964) for Coregonus albula from some lakes. Likewise, we can point to no apparent reason for the great susceptibility to O<sub>2</sub> deficiency of the very young zander, Lucioperca lucioperca, tested by Kuznetsova (1958). The observation of Downing and Merkens that young mirror carp died after prolonged exposure at 20°C to O<sub>2</sub> concentrations far above those that were tolerated for 1 day already has been mentioned and will be discussed later. The data pertaining to the American shad reported by Hoff, Chittenden, and Westman (1966) have been considered in connection with general discussion of experimental methods.

We can conclude that reports of fish having been soon killed by exposure to reduced levels of O<sub>2</sub> not lower than 3.0 mg/l under otherwise apparently more or less favorable experimental conditions (temperatures, etc.) are somewhat unusual, and that all of them should be regarded with suspicion. The possibilities that the experimental animals were abnormally excited and that their death was due largely or entirely to some cause other than the O<sub>2</sub> deficiency certainly should be borne in mind. We are strongly inclined to doubt that any fully developed freshwater fish are ever killed in nature solely by such O<sub>2</sub> deficiency (i. e., O<sub>2</sub> levels not below 3.0 mg/l) persisting for a period of moderate duration. This view is based largely on the senior author's personal experience in the field, in connection with many investigations of water pollution and fish mortalities. We must admit, however, that it has been contradicted by competent investigators whose experience in the field probably was more extensive than ours.

Ellis et al. (1947) stated that it was their experience that, under stream or lake conditions, the reduction of dissolved  $O_2$  to 3.5-3.0 mg/l at summer water temperatures and to 2.0 mg/l at winter temperatures "is lethal for many species of fish in 48 hours or less". Ellis' field experience unquestionably was extensive. In an effort to explain the difference of his view from ours, we can only point out that some field observations can be misleading. Unless fish are seen actually dying at a reliably determined  $O_2$  level and there is assurance that no toxic water pollutants are present that could be lethal at the reduced level of  $O_2$ , a fish mortality should not be attributed to a reduced  $O_2$  level observed where dead fish are found. Fish that apparently have died recently are often observed in water with  $O_2$  content well above the minimum level to which the fish had been subjected for a short period and which caused their death before the observation. Putrescible organic wastes are often themselves toxic or are associated with toxic pollutants in receiving waters in which fish mortalities occur. Very thorough and prolonged investigation often has proved necessary, therefore, to establish the true cause of death of fish in waters in which recurrent fish mortalities associated with reduced  $O_2$  concentrations have been observed. Survival of fish under particular water quality conditions in nature often is more easily demonstrated.

Our opinion that Ellis was mistaken is based not only on personal experience and the experimental results presented here, but also on a number of published reports of survival of fish at very low  $O_2$  concentrations in nature, all of which cannot be mentioned here. Jahoda (1947), for example, found young brook trout evidently in some distress but surviving in shallow water at  $O_2$  levels well below

2.0 mg/l that apparently persisted for more than two weeks, and at a minimum observed level of 1.1 mg/l; temperatures were near and above 11°C. Trout that were not destroyed by predators during the period of drouth and interrupted stream flow during which the observations were made recovered when the stream flow and O<sub>2</sub> concentration increased. Cooper and Washburn (1949) concluded that heavy mortalities of fish in winter, in frozen and snow-covered lakes that they studied, occurred only when the O<sub>2</sub> content of the water decreased to about 0.6 mg/l or less. Many fish of a number of species survived even in lakes where the O<sub>2</sub> level was reduced to 0.3 or 0.2 mg/l. A number of other published reports of pertinent observations made in the field will be cited elsewhere in this treatise. Many additional ones that are somewhat less instructive than those mentioned here but nevertheless pertinent to the present discussion, such as that of Schneller (1955), could be cited in support of our view. But until curious, contradictory experimental results such as those reported by Moore (1942) can be fully explained and many controlled experiments performed in which natural conditions are closely simulated, our knowledge of tolerance limits will remain very incomplete.

The salmonids certainly are among the fishes that are most sensitive to O<sub>2</sub> deficiency. Numerous reports of lethal effects on other fishes (e. g. , young sturgeons) of reduced O<sub>2</sub> concentrations near or well above levels found to be tolerated by salmonids should not be overlooked, however. Some non-salmonid fish larvae appear to be notably more susceptible to anoxia than salmonid larvae are.

We must next consider how the tolerance limits are related to exposure time and to other variables generally believed to be important.

#### Variation of resistance with exposure time

We have indicated that a major source of error of estimates of tolerance thresholds obtained by the sealed-vessel or other declining-O<sub>2</sub> method can be the ability of fish to survive for some time at O<sub>2</sub> concentrations well below the true thresholds. Tolerance limits obtained by exposing fish to constant O<sub>2</sub> concentrations also can be misleading if much higher levels are lethal after more prolonged exposure. Moss and Scott (1961) stated that bluegills, largemouth bass, and channel catfish, Ictalurus punctatus, that survived for 24 hours at nearly lethal levels of O<sub>2</sub> apparently were able to continue living at these levels for "at least several days", but supporting data were not presented.

In their experiments with coho salmon, Davison et al. (1959) observed few deaths of the animals after their exposure for more than 24 hours to O<sub>2</sub> concentrations that proved lethal to some of the fish in less than 1 day, or to lower levels. Their tests were usually continued for 5 days after gradual reduction of O<sub>2</sub> to constant levels in 6 to 8 hours. They concluded that estimates of 5-day tolerance limits would not have differed markedly from their estimates of 24-hour tolerance limits. In 5-day tests with reticulate sculpins, Cottus perplexus, however, a number of deaths occurred after more than 1 day of exposure. Inasmuch as mortalities were recorded daily for exposure periods ranging from 1 to 5 days only, the relationship of survival time to O<sub>2</sub> concentration was

not fully explored, and the true threshold of tolerance was not established. It was suggested that the sculpin may have relatively limited acclimation capacity, as compared with coho salmon.

Shepard (1955) concluded that the "immediate" (acute) lethal effects of low oxygen stress in brook trout probably occurred always within an experimental period of 5000 minutes (3.5 days). His data indicate that even a much shorter exposure period, less than 2000 minutes, usually was sufficient for satisfactory estimation of incipient lethal levels of  $O_2$ , or thresholds for acute lethality. The relation between median resistance time and  $O_2$  concentrations below the incipient lethal levels has been thoroughly discussed by Shepard; data of other investigators, as well as his own, were considered. This matter cannot be considered fully here. Shepard found that when a "minimum resistance time" of about 15 minutes was subtracted from observed median resistance times, the logarithms of the resulting values were linearly related to  $O_2$  concentrations.

There is good evidence, to be considered later, of fairly rapid acclimation of fish to low  $O_2$  levels, resulting in increased resistance to lethal levels. Therefore, it seems reasonable to expect very long delayed death of fish at a constant  $O_2$  level to occur only when chronic hypoxia produces some eventually lethal physiological disturbance that is different from the cause of death at rapidly lethal  $O_2$  levels. There is some evidence, to be presented elsewhere in this review, of lethal effects of chronic hypoxia that are distinct from the effects of acute hypoxia, but these delayed effects have not been adequately investigated.

Curiously, the comprehensive data of Downing and Merkens (1957), unlike those of Shepard (1955), do not reveal the existence of any tolerance thresholds demonstrable by tests lasting as long as 7 days; they also indicate no difference of rapid and delayed lethal effects. Downing and Merkens observed in almost every one of their experiments with various fishes, tested at 3 different temperatures, an unbroken, nearly linear relationship between logarithms of median tolerance limits of  $O_2$  tension and logarithms of exposure time, ranging mostly from about 2 hours to 7 days. The slopes of the lines for different species were markedly different, and they varied also, in a somewhat irregular fashion, with temperature. Those for rainbow trout and perch, Perca fluviatilis, tested at  $16^\circ C$  indicate median lethal levels of  $O_2$  for 7-day exposure that are higher by about 30% than the corresponding values for 24-hour exposure. The other lines fitted to the data indicate smaller and larger differences between the 1-day and 7-day median tolerance limits.

The straight lines fitted by Downing and Merkens to their data pertaining to the mirror carp show a progressive change in slope with increase of temperature from  $10.6^\circ$  to  $16^\circ$  and to  $20^\circ C$ . The slope of the  $20^\circ$  line is quite distinctive. This line indicates a more than threefold (about 330%) increase of the median tolerance limit with increase of exposure time from 1 day to 7 days. Extrapolation from these data of Downing and Merkens would lead to the impossible conclusion that at  $20^\circ C$  the carp should die of  $O_2$  deficiency within about a month at the air-saturation level of  $O_2$ ! It would also lead to the conclusion that at a temperature somewhat above  $20^\circ C$ , the carp should die of

hypoxia at the air-saturation level of  $O_2$  within a few days. The validity of any such extrapolation may not be assumed, of course. A true threshold of  $O_2$  tolerance perhaps could have been established by exposing the carp to reduced  $O_2$  levels for periods longer than 7 days. We strongly suspect, however, that something is seriously wrong here. It is possible, for example, that the experimental water contained some slowly acting, undetected toxic substance to which the carp were especially susceptible and whose toxicity increased with reduction of the  $O_2$  concentration and increase of temperature. Interactions resulting in increases of toxicity of chemicals at reduced  $O_2$  levels are common, and increases of temperature are likely to increase the influence of  $O_2$  concentration. The carp may not really have been dying of  $O_2$  deficiency alone. If another lethal agent was indeed the true cause of death of the carp, other tested species of fish also may have been affected. We are merely speculating here, of course, and our supposition may be entirely wrong.

In any case, the data of Downing and Merkens do not define the duration of exposure necessary for determination of true thresholds of tolerance of any of the species tested. Therefore, this matter needs further investigation. It is possible that no effective acclimation to  $O_2$  deficiency usually occurs at very low and eventually lethal  $O_2$  levels. Failure to acclimate could explain the absence of a demonstrable true threshold. Brett (1946) reported that acclimation of the brown bullhead, Ictalurus nebulosus, to a higher temperature, as indicated by increase of resistance to lethal heat, was almost totally inhibited for at least 23 hours when the  $O_2$  content of the water in the acclimation tank was continuously

low. When  $O_2$  was abundant, the thermal acclimation was nearly complete within the same period.

#### Variation of resistance with age and size

Comprehensive studies of the relations between minimum tolerable levels of  $O_2$  and the age or size of fish have not been reported. Comparative data that we have found are often contradictory.

Privonev (1947) reported that the "threshold" concentrations for young Atlantic salmon, determined by the sealed-vessel method at  $15^{\circ}C$ , decreased from about 3.4 mg/l to about 1.25 mg/l with increase of age from 36 to 107 days. Bishai (1960), on the other hand, reported that the minimum tolerable levels of  $O_2$  for Atlantic salmon exposed to constant concentrations for 2 to 5 days at  $5^{\circ}$  to  $9^{\circ}C$  increased with increasing age of the fish. These levels were reported to be about 0.3 mg/l for newly hatched fry, 0.7 mg/l for fry 40 days old, and 2.8 mg/l for fry 80 days old (Table 1). Similar observations were made on brown trout (Table 1). Bishai also found that at  $12.4^{\circ}C$ , the minimum tolerable level for the Atlantic salmon increased considerably with increase in age from 82 days to 117 days. Thus, his finding is quite the reverse of Privolnev's (1947). Bishai reported that all newly hatched salmon alevins and some newly hatched brown trout alevins withstood total lack of  $O_2$  for 20 hours at  $5^{\circ}C$ .

Korzhuev (1941) found that the "threshold"  $O_2$  concentration for young sturgeons, Acipenser güldenstädti and A. stellatus, determined by the sealed-vessel method at  $20^{\circ}C$ , remained virtually constant as their age increased from 1 day to 30 days.

A. stellatus more than 10 days old appeared to be slightly more resistant to O<sub>2</sub> deficiency than were the younger fry, but the small variation of the reported "thresholds" for fry of different ages evidently was largely fortuitous. Konovalov (1961), on the other hand, reported large variations of the "threshold" values for A. stellatus (Table 1), determined by a method apparently quite like Korzhuev's. Konovalov found that these values increased with the age of the fish from 2.7 mg/l to a maximum of 5.3 mg/l in the first 4 days after hatching. They then apparently decreased to 2.2 mg/l in the next 6 days, increased again to 3.9 mg/l in the following 10 days, and declined irregularly thereafter to 2.2 mg/l, the value reported for fish 2 months old. Temperatures at which the determinations were made were not very constant, varying from 18° to 24.5°C. Dates of the experiments show that the fry did not all hatch from eggs at the same time, and so could not have come from a single lot of young of identical parentage and history. It is difficult to believe that their tolerance limit at 18°-19°C actually decreased from 4.3 mg/l to 2.2 mg/l O<sub>2</sub> in 2 days, between the eighth and tenth days after hatching. Both of these values were obtained in tests done on the same day.

Chevnikova (1964) reported "threshold" concentrations of 1.9 and 1.5 mg/l for young whitefish, Coregonus nasus, 1 and 121 days old, respectively; both of these values were determined at 12°C.

Kuznetsova (1958) reported that the "threshold" O<sub>2</sub> concentrations at which young carp, bream, and zander lost equilibrium and ceased respiratory movements were highest for the earliest tested stages of postembryonic development (i. e.,

after hatching). The values reported for young of these species 0.7 to 12 mg in weight average about two to three times the values reported for those weighing 107 to 1725 mg (Table 1). Values reported for still smaller, newly hatched fry of the zander are even higher.

Young fish generally are believed to be less resistant to  $O_2$  deficiency than older and larger individuals, because of their generally higher metabolic rates. Such differences in resistance of fish of different size and age have been observed often (Moore, 1942; Shepard, 1955; Opuszinski, 1967; Kuznetsova, 1958; Lozinov, 1952). However, in comparing brook trout 2, 5, and 10 to 11 months old, Shepard (1955) found that only the length of time that they could withstand a lethal  $O_2$  level increased with the age and size of the fish. The incipient lethal levels for the three groups, that is, the levels that could be tolerated by 50% of the test animals indefinitely, did not differ appreciably.

Incidentally, the relatively low resistance to  $O_2$  deficiency of heavily fed and excessively fat channel catfish, as compared with that of normal fish, that has been reported by Moss and Scott (1961) can be noted (Table 1).

#### Variation of resistance with temperature and season

The manner of variation with temperature of the lower limits of resistance of fish to reduced  $O_2$  has been found to be extremely variable. The lethal level has been reported to increase sometimes regularly and sometimes irregularly or not at all with increases of temperature within the ranges of temperatures to which the fish are normally exposed in nature. Unfortunately, tests at different

temperatures have not always been done in the same season of the year or in random order.

Burdick et al. (1954), using the sealed-vessel technique, found that the logarithms of  $O_2$  concentrations at which three species of trout (brook, rainbow, and brown trout) lost equilibrium increased linearly with increase of temperature up to the highest temperatures tested (about  $21^{\circ}C$ ). These "lethal levels" increased by at least 40% to more than 50% with increase of temperature from  $12^{\circ}$  to  $20^{\circ}C$ . Graham's (1949) data, from experiments with very few brook trout exposed to constant  $O_2$  concentrations in continuously renewed water, suggest a similar relationship of lethal levels of  $O_2$  to temperature over a wide temperature range, from  $3.5^{\circ}$  to  $23^{\circ}C$ .

Davison et al. (1959) found that the 24-hour tolerance limits for juvenile coho salmon exposed to constant  $O_2$  concentrations in autumn did not increase at all with rise of temperature from  $12^{\circ}$  to  $16^{\circ}C$  and increased by only about 10% to 15% at most with increase of temperature to  $20^{\circ}C$ . At higher temperatures, however, especially above  $22^{\circ}C$ , the lethal level rose steeply.

Downing and Merkens (1957) reported very different results of tests in which rainbow trout were exposed to constant  $O_2$  concentrations in continuously renewed (flowing) water for long periods up to 7 days. Their trout withstood for 7 days somewhat lower  $O_2$  concentrations at  $19.9^{\circ}C$  than at  $16.4^{\circ}C$  and certainly were not more tolerant at the lower temperature. The maximum  $O_2$  level tolerated for 3.5 days at  $10.6^{\circ}C$  (1.5 mg/l) was, however, decidedly lower than the corresponding value (2.5 mg/l) obtained at the next higher temperature of  $16.4^{\circ}C$ .

The tests at the different temperatures apparently were not nearly simultaneous and were done with fish from different stocks. Seasonal and other differences of the trout used may have been involved for these reasons.

Thus, we see that very different relationships of lethal O<sub>2</sub> levels to temperature have been observed in experiments with different salmonid species and even with the same species, the rainbow trout.

A linear relation between temperature and logarithms of O<sub>2</sub> concentrations at which loss of equilibrium occurred in sealed vessels has been reported by Burdick et al. (1954) for smallmouth bass, Micropterus dolomieu, as well as for trout; by Burdick, Dean, and Harris (1957) for the yellow perch; and by Cooper (1960) for the common shiner, Notropis cornutus. The test temperatures ranged from about 12° to 21°C in the experiments with yellow perch, and from about 12° to 27°C in the experiments with smallmouth bass and the common shiner. The median "lethal levels" of O<sub>2</sub> for the yellow perch and smallmouth bass increased by about one-half (40% to 62%) with increase of temperature from the lowest to the highest levels tested, and that for the common shiner increased by 100%.

Shkorbatov (1965) reported widely varying relations between temperature and mean lethal levels of O<sub>2</sub> for three species of fish, determined by gradually replacing well-oxygenated water with deoxygenated water until the fish (20-40 specimens) died. The reported lethal levels increased by as little as 25% and as much as 300% with rise of temperature from 15° to 25°C. Even the relations between the lethal levels and temperature reported for fish of the same species from different geographic regions were not uniform. Thus, in experiments with

samples of three populations of the roach, Rutilus rutilus, increases of the asphyxial level of  $O_2$  with rise of temperature from  $20^\circ$  to  $25^\circ C$  were nearly equal to, much greater than, and less than those observed with rise of temperature from  $15^\circ$  to  $20^\circ C$ . One population proved less resistant than another at  $15^\circ$  and  $20^\circ$  but much more resistant than the other at  $25^\circ C$ , and a third proved much less resistant than the others at all the three test temperatures. A similar result was obtained with samples of three populations of pike. One showed an increase of the asphyxial level by some 25% and another a 250% increase with rise of temperature from  $15^\circ$  to  $25^\circ C$ . It is difficult to believe that the relationships of  $O_2$  requirements to temperature under natural conditions are so variable. In three of four experiments with bream, the asphyxial levels were nearly the same at  $15^\circ$  and  $20^\circ$  but decidedly higher at  $25^\circ C$ .

Lozinov (1952), using the sealed-vessel method, observed that the  $O_2$  levels at which perch lost equilibrium were about twice as high at  $23-24^\circ C$  as at  $11^\circ C$ . However, the higher temperatures were said to be near the limit of tolerance of these fish, which had been acclimated to low temperatures. Fry of the sturgeons Acipenser stellatus and A. güldenstädti, on the other hand, showed very small increases (7% and 25%) of the "threshold" concentrations of  $O_2$  with increase of temperature from  $11^\circ$  to  $25^\circ C$ ; at higher temperatures there was a considerable increase of these lethal levels. Tench, Tinca tinca, showed no change of the very low "threshold" concentration with rise of temperature from  $11^\circ$  to  $18^\circ C$ , but a large increase at  $31^\circ C$ , a temperature near the limit of tolerance of the fish. According to Lozinov, Ivlev (1938) observed very little change of the  $O_2$  threshold

for fingerling carp with increase of temperature from about 1° to 25-30°C, but the threshold increased markedly at higher temperatures. Yet, Downing and Merkens (1957) reported an increase by more than 400% of 3.5-day median tolerance limits of O<sub>2</sub> concentration for mirror carp with rise of temperature from 10.6° to 19.9°C. In similar tests, the tolerance of other fish species was found by these authors to increase progressively but less markedly with rise of temperature; however, that of the perch, as well as the rainbow trout, showed no increase of the 7-day tolerance limit with increase of temperatures from 16° to 20°C. As noted earlier, seasonal and other differences between the fish they tested at the different temperatures evidently were not excluded, as the tests were not simultaneous, etc.

Moss and Scott (1961) found that the minimum O<sub>2</sub> levels tolerated by bluegills, largemouth bass, and channel catfish almost invariably increased progressively with rise of temperature from 25° to 30° and to 35°C. The total increase with the 10° temperature rise ranged from 14% to 64%. Tests in which the fish were exposed rather suddenly to constant, low levels of O<sub>2</sub> and observed for 24 hours, and tests in which fish were subjected to progressive, daily reductions of O<sub>2</sub> over long periods until they died, were performed with all three species. The largemouth bass showed no decrease of tolerance with increase of temperature from 25° to 30°C in the latter tests only. Seasonal differences of the fish may have been involved.

Privolnev and Koroleva (1953) determined O<sub>2</sub> "threshold" concentrations for six species of fish (bream, zander, perch, pike, roach, and ruffe, Acerina cernua) at different temperatures in winter and summer. Their data seem to show

that the threshold levels decreased much more often than they increased with rise of temperature. Sealed vessels were used in these experiments. The lethal levels reported are all very low (0.8 mg/l or less), and the authors stated that the temperature differences within the ranges of test temperatures ( $0^{\circ}$  to  $10^{\circ}\text{C}$  in winter and  $4^{\circ}$  to  $20^{\circ}\text{C}$  in summer) resulted in no appreciable differences of the thresholds. They also stated, without presenting supporting data, that at higher temperatures ( $25^{\circ}$  to  $28^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) the thresholds increase materially. However, their data show thresholds increasing by as much as 100% with decrease of temperature from  $20^{\circ}$  to  $10^{\circ}\text{C}$  in summer and by 200% to 300% with decrease of temperature from  $10^{\circ}$  to  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  in winter. Inasmuch as we are aware of no other evidence of such large increases of  $\text{O}_2$  requirements of fishes with decreases of temperature, we must conclude that the method used in this study was probably inappropriate or the technique somehow defective.

On the basis of the same data, Privolnev and Koroleva (1953) concluded that the lethal  $\text{O}_2$  thresholds usually were significantly higher in summer than in winter, but the evidence presented is not impressive. With but one exception, the differences between thresholds determined at common temperatures in winter and summer were smaller (mostly no greater than 0.1 mg/l) than the differences between thresholds determined at different temperatures in the same season, which were dismissed by the authors as being insignificant. Actually, the threshold value obtained for each fish species at the highest temperature tested in summer for each fish species was at least as low as, or lower than, the highest value obtained for the same species at a lower temperature in winter. Thus, the fish apparently

were about as tolerant of O<sub>2</sub> deficiency at summer temperatures in summer as they were at low winter temperatures in winter. However, we do not believe that any definite conclusion can be based on the data presented.

Moore (1942) tested the resistance of a large variety of fishes to O<sub>2</sub> deficiency in winter and in summer by confining them for 24 or 48 hours in cages suspended in lakes. He found the lethal levels of O<sub>2</sub> to be decidedly higher at moderate summer temperatures than at winter temperatures. However, as we have already indicated, levels that he reported to be lethal are, for some reason, obviously too high.

We know of no reports of very satisfactory, comprehensive studies of possible variations of the resistance of freshwater fishes to O<sub>2</sub> deficiency with season of the year, independent of ambient temperature. Conclusive demonstration of seasonal changes in susceptibility of fish to lethal agents at uniform temperatures is difficult. Thorough acclimation of the animals to test temperatures and strict uniformity of all other experimental conditions, perhaps excepting photoperiod, is essential. Our own observations on apparently seasonal, but not yet reliably predictable, variations in susceptibility of underyearling coho salmon to growth-depressing and lethal effects of chronic hypoxia are reported in the section of this treatise that deals with growth of juvenile fish. Curiously, these fish appear to be least tolerant of O<sub>2</sub> deficiency in late summer, when low O<sub>2</sub> concentrations are most likely to occur in their natural environments and one could reasonably expect their tolerance to be high. Careful investigation of such phenomena is needed, but, as noted above, it is not easy.

Somewhat similar observations made in the course of studies of the influence of hypoxia and acclimation to  $O_2$  deficiency on the metabolism of the bluegill have been reported to us by A. W. Pritchard of Oregon State University (personal communication). He experienced so much difficulty in attempting to work with bluegills in the months of August and September, because of excessive mortalities of fish being held at low  $O_2$  concentrations in the laboratory, that the studies were discontinued during these months. Moss and Scott (1961), however, reported no increase of the susceptibility of bluegills and other tested species of fish to reduction of  $O_2$  in summer that cannot be readily ascribed to the relatively high temperatures at which the summer tests were performed. After gradual, step-wise reduction of the  $O_2$  concentration, their bluegills were able to withstand for 24 hours  $O_2$  levels not far above 1 mg/l at 35°C in August.

We can summarize the above information by stating that no definite pattern of variation of the resistance of fishes to  $O_2$  deficiency with temperature or with season of the year has been established. Generally, the minimum tolerable  $O_2$  concentrations and tensions (or percentages of air-saturation) tend to increase as temperature increases, but the increases may or may not be regular. It is impossible to determine to what extent the difference of reported patterns of variation of lethal levels with temperature are real differences between species and to what extent they are attributable to differences of experimental methods and conditions. Inasmuch as very different patterns have been reported by different investigators for the same species, and some investigators have consistently observed the same pattern in experiments with a variety of fishes, differences of

experimental method certainly cannot be said to be unimportant. However, some investigators have obtained very different results when testing different species by the same method, or similar results when testing the same material by very different methods. The stated problem evidently can be solved only through a very intensive and comprehensive investigation involving the use of different methods and different test animals in strictly comparable tests.

#### Influence of carbon dioxide and pH

The influence of free CO<sub>2</sub> on lethal levels of dissolved O<sub>2</sub> (usually residual levels) for many species of fish has been determined by a number of investigators. Doudoroff and Katz (1950) have briefly reviewed early literature on this subject. The concentrations of free CO<sub>2</sub> that appreciably impaired the ability of the fish to extract O<sub>2</sub> from the water in sealed vessels generally have been above levels likely to be found even in polluted waters. For example, of ten freshwater fish species tested by Hart (1945), only one or two, the gizzard shad, Dorosoma cepedianum, and perhaps the bluegill, showed any considerable effect of free CO<sub>2</sub> at a level as high as 60 mg/l. At this and lower levels of CO<sub>2</sub>, most species of fish extracted the O<sub>2</sub> from the water almost completely.

McNeil (1956) found virtually no effect of free CO<sub>2</sub> levels as high as 40 mg/l on the minimum levels of O<sub>2</sub> tolerated by coho salmon for 24 hours in continuously renewed (flowing) water whose O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were changed gradually (reduced and increased, respectively) in about 6 hours and then kept constant. This result was obtained at temperatures near 20.5°C when

using water of low total alkalinity with pH near 6 after the addition of the  $\text{CO}_2$ .

In water whose total alkalinity was increased, by addition of sodium bicarbonate, to about 300 mg/l as calcium carbonate, concentrations of  $\text{CO}_2$  up to about 80 mg/l (at pH near 7) had very little effect on the resistance of these fish to  $\text{O}_2$  deficiency. In a few preliminary tests with steelhead trout, at about  $17^\circ\text{C}$ , the 24-hour tolerance limit of  $\text{O}_2$  apparently was not increased by more than 0.2 mg/l (about 12%) by the addition of more than 40 mg/l  $\text{CO}_2$ , even in the water of low alkalinity.

In some of McNeil's (1956) experiments with coho salmon (at  $20^\circ\text{C}$ ), sealed vessels were used and initial levels of  $\text{O}_2$  and of free  $\text{CO}_2$  were varied. When the initial  $\text{O}_2$  levels were 5.8 mg/l or more, the residual levels to which the  $\text{O}_2$  was reduced before the death of all of three test animals increased only very slightly with increase of the final free  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration to about 70 mg/l. They did not exceed 1.6 mg/l. However, when the initial  $\text{O}_2$  concentrations were only 3.0 to 3.4 mg/l and the final free  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations were 35 to 45 mg/l, the fish all died at  $\text{O}_2$  concentrations above 2.5 mg/l, that is, before they could extract much  $\text{O}_2$  from the water. Under the same conditions but at relatively low levels of  $\text{CO}_2$  (17 mg/l or less) the  $\text{O}_2$  was reduced to levels below 1.8 mg/l in most tests. At intermediate  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations,  $\text{O}_2$  was reduced little in some tests and much more (to 1.8 mg/l or less) in others. Rapid acclimation of the fish to the high  $\text{CO}_2$  levels is indicated by the results of these experiments. Those fish that were not asphyxiated soon by sudden exposure to a low level of  $\text{O}_2$  combined with a high concentration of free  $\text{CO}_2$  were able to withstand much lower levels of  $\text{O}_2$ , having evidently adjusted themselves to the high  $\text{CO}_2$  level.

Alabaster, Herbert, and Hemens (1957) reported an approximately linear relation between concentrations of free  $\text{CO}_2$  and levels of  $\text{O}_2$  lethal to 50% of rainbow trout fingerlings in 24 hours at each of three test temperatures ( $12.5^\circ$  to  $19.5^\circ\text{C}$ ). The lethal level of  $\text{O}_2$  was found to increase twofold or more with increase of  $\text{CO}_2$  from nearly 0 to 40 mg/l and up to threefold with increase of  $\text{CO}_2$  to 60 mg/l. However, the fish evidently were exposed suddenly to the adverse conditions tested, which remained constant throughout the tests. The lack of any opportunity for the fish to become acclimated to the high  $\text{CO}_2$  levels before exposure to extreme  $\text{O}_2$  concentrations in all probability explains the striking difference between these results and those of McNeil's (1956) flowing water experiments with coho salmon. In natural situations, fish are not likely to be exposed suddenly to lethal conditions that they cannot avoid, and the ability of fish to avoid high  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations is well known. Speedy acclimation of fish to  $\text{CO}_2$  was noted long ago by Powers et al. (1938), who evaluated changes in alkali reserve of the blood, and recently by several other investigators. For example, Haskell and Davies (1958) found that effects of  $\text{CO}_2$  vary markedly with the rate of its increase. In experiments in which sealed vessels have been used to determine the influence of  $\text{CO}_2$  on resistance to  $\text{O}_2$  deficiency, fish usually have been unintentionally given some opportunity to adjust themselves to high  $\text{CO}_2$  levels at initially adequate  $\text{O}_2$  concentrations.

There has been much misunderstanding about the influence of pH on the ability of fishes to withstand  $\text{O}_2$  deficiency (Doudoroff and Katz, 1959; Doudoroff, 1957; Doudoroff and Warren, 1965). Great impairment of the ability of fish to

the increase of their resistance to acute  $O_2$  deficiency. This could account, at least partly, for the increase of resistance. Shepard noted that trout tested in darkness were somewhat more resistant to lethal levels of  $O_2$  than were those tested in illuminated flasks, and he suggested that their greater resistance may be attributable to their presumably lower metabolic rate.

Streltsova (1964) reported results of various, less elaborate but nevertheless interesting experiments with rainbow trout, brown trout, and carp. These fish were acclimated for varying periods to both low (3.0 mg/l) and very high (18.6 to 22 mg/l) levels of  $O_2$ . Lethal "thresholds" were determined by confining the fish in sealed vessels and measuring the  $O_2$  concentrations at which death occurred; they cannot be regarded as true thresholds. Rainbow trout 1 and 2 years old and yearling brown trout, all acclimated for 22 to 28 days to the low level of  $O_2$  at very low temperatures ( $1.0^\circ$  to  $1.9^\circ\text{C}$ ) in March, showed little or no gain of resistance to  $O_2$  deficiency. Only yearling rainbow trout proved somewhat more resistant after acclimation than controls, and the small difference of resistance was observed only after acclimation for 13 days or more to the low  $O_2$  level. At  $15^\circ\text{C}$  in June, rainbow trout 2 years old and acclimated for 13 days to the low  $O_2$  level showed a large increase of resistance (decrease of the "threshold" from 1.42 to 0.54 mg/l), whereas acclimation to the very high  $O_2$  level seemed to have no effect. Rainbow trout fry (88 to 235 mg in weight) that had been acclimated to the reduced and elevated  $O_2$  levels for 6 days differed markedly in resistance to  $O_2$  deficiency. Acclimation to the very high  $O_2$  level seemed to have the greater effect, but unexplained fluctuations of the resistance

of both groups of fry rendered interpretation of the results difficult.

Streltsova found that carp 2 years old, and also relative large under-yearlings, showed pronounced effects of acclimation to different  $O_2$  levels in the fall at temperatures ranging from  $2.5^{\circ}$  to  $7.8^{\circ}C$ . Acclimation to a low  $O_2$  level (2 mg/l) for 8 to 12 days and longer resulted in marked increases of resistance to  $O_2$  deficiency, and acclimation to a very high  $O_2$  level (about 20 mg/l) reduced the resistance. With undersized young of the year, negative results were obtained, however. Indeed, the result obtained with one group of these fish was the reverse of the expected result. Defects of the experimental methods may well have been responsible for some of Streltsova's apparently erratic results.

Nikiforov (1953) reported that lethal levels of  $O_2$  for young Atlantic salmon reared in a pond at 5.0 to 5.5 mg/l  $O_2$  were decidedly lower than those of salmon of the same size that had been reared in a pond at 10 to 12.5 mg/l  $O_2$ . The means of the lethal levels reported for individual fish (nine from each pond), without description of the method of their determination, were 0.88 and 1.37 mg/l, respectively. Davison et al. (1959) found that juvenile coho salmon that had been held for 5 days at 2 mg/l  $O_2$  died at concentrations averaging 0.2 mg/l lower than those that proved lethal to unacclimated controls upon gradual reduction of  $O_2$  to lethal levels. The lethal levels for the acclimated fish and unacclimated controls were 0.9 to 0.7 mg/l and 1.1 to 0.9 mg/l, respectively; those at which the controls died were attained within 8 to 10 hours after the beginning of reduction of  $O_2$ .

Moss and Scott (1961) determined lethal levels of  $O_2$  for bluegills, large-mouth bass, and channel catfish at three test temperatures ( $25^\circ$  to  $35^\circ C$ ). Some of the lethal levels were determined by reducing the  $O_2$  concentrations gradually, over periods of many days, and more slowly as the lethal levels were approached, until the fish died. Lethal levels also were determined by subjecting the fish within only 1 to 2 hours after the beginning of a test to tested lethal or nearly lethal levels, which remained constant thereafter. The lethal levels determined by the former method were always lower than those determined by the latter method, presumably because of acclimation of the fish to the low  $O_2$  levels, as well as to other test conditions, during very slow reduction of  $O_2$ .

Tagatz placed groups of 10 American shad in aquaria with 30 gallons of water with the surface either covered with wax paper or exposed to the atmosphere. Water temperatures were  $21^\circ$ - $23^\circ C$ . The shad began dying at  $O_2$  levels of 1.6-1.8 mg/l, and 50% were dead at the 1.4 mg/l level in the covered aquaria, where the rate of reduction of  $O_2$  from the 7.0 mg/l level was 1 mg/l per 3-hour period. The corresponding lethal levels were 1.0-1.1 mg/l and 0.9 mg/l in the open aquaria, where the rate of reduction of  $O_2$  was 1 mg/l in 9.5 or 11 hours. Some of the evident advantage displayed by the fish that experienced the slower decline of  $O_2$  concentration may have been due to their becoming more accustomed to their physical surroundings before the concentration became critically low. More prolonged acclimation to low levels of  $O_2$  also presumably rendered them more resistant than were the fish that had little time to become acclimated. Tagatz stated that M. M. Ellis reported in an unpublished manuscript that about

49% of young American shad placed in groups of five in 4-liter flasks at temperatures ranging from 20.2° to 22.5°C died when the O<sub>2</sub> content of the water had been reduced from 5.0 to 3.0 mg/l. The rates of decline of the O<sub>2</sub> level were said to have been 1.0 mg/l in 10 to 40 minutes. Comparison of the results with those that Tagatz obtained when using covered aquaria reveals a striking difference, but one can only suppose that this difference was due in considerable part to the difference in duration of acclimation to low O<sub>2</sub> levels. It was probably due largely to the other differences of experimental conditions.

Shkorbatov (1965) reported pronounced intraspecific differences of resistance to low O<sub>2</sub> concentrations at different temperatures of fish of several species (bream, roach, pike) from different geographic regions. He presented evidence in support of his view that the observed differences between the different populations of the same species resulted from adaptation of these populations specifically to differences of O<sub>2</sub> content of the waters inhabited by them. Fish from populations not widely separated from each other geographically appeared to differ more in resistance to low O<sub>2</sub> than did fish from widely separated populations. The relative resistance seemed to correlate better with dissolved O<sub>2</sub> conditions in the habitats of these populations than with other possible factors considered, including environmental temperatures.

Streltsova et al. (1964) reported large differences in resistance to O<sub>2</sub> deficiency and to high temperatures of two populations of each of two species of the genus Coregonus inhabiting different lakes, and they also cited some similar observations of Shkorbatov on dissolved O<sub>2</sub> requirements. Specimens of both species

Stewart, Shumway, and Doudoroff (1967) observed some depression of growth rates but no mortality or evident distress of largemouth bass held continuously for 15 days at  $O_2$  levels as high as 24 mg/l, or about 290% of the air-saturation level at the test temperature of nearly  $26^{\circ}C$ . Fisher (1963) reported similar results obtained in 18-day experiments with juvenile (underyearling) coho salmon exposed to  $O_2$  levels of about 30 and 35.5 mg/l, or about 315% and 370% of air-saturation at the test temperature of  $18^{\circ}C$ . On the other hand, Streltsova (1969) reported that at the low temperature of  $1.0^{\circ}$ - $1.4^{\circ}C$ ,  $O_2$  concentrations of 28-30 mg/l (210% of saturation), produced by bubbling  $O_2$  through the water, killed yearling rainbow trout after 3-day exposure. Rainbow trout 2 years old, however, were not killed even after exposure for 14 days to this level of  $O_2$ , although they did show a characteristic change of behavior suggestive of serious injury. The abnormal behavior was noted also when yearlings were exposed to a level of  $O_2$  of only 24 mg/l, or about 170% air-saturation. Death of the trout exposed to this level for 2 weeks also is mentioned by the author in her concluding summary, but not in the preceding text. Perhaps the very low temperature somehow interacted with the elevated  $O_2$  concentration in producing the observed mortality of trout in Streltsova's experiments. Observations similar to hers to be found in the literature have not been confined to experiments at very low temperatures, however, so that all the conflicting reports of poor and good survival or condition of fish held at abnormally high  $O_2$  levels are not easily reconciled.

Exceedingly high concentrations of  $O_2$  occur under natural conditions as a result of the photosynthetic activity of green plants, and so do not persist for very

long periods; at night the concentrations usually decline rapidly. Also, lethal toxic effects of  $O_2$  concentrations no higher than those likely to occur under natural conditions evidently are not produced very rapidly, even if they are indeed sometimes convincingly demonstrable in the laboratory. Therefore, these effects are not likely to be of practical importance in connection with water pollution problems. We are not aware of any reliable report of lethal intoxication of fish with  $O_2$  under natural or field conditions.

Toxic effects of  $O_2$  should not be confused, however, with the well-known lethal effect of supersaturation of water with atmospheric gases, of which  $O_2$  is but one, that can produce so-called gas bubble disease of fish. As explained by Doudoroff (1957), this disease occurs when the sum of the individual tensions of dissolved gases (chiefly  $N_2$  and  $O_2$ ) greatly exceeds the hydrostatic pressure, including the pressure of the atmosphere. Such a condition of the water evidently can result from intense photosynthetic activity of phytoplankton, whereby much  $O_2$  is introduced into the medium without rapid removal of  $N_2$ . It does not occur, at least under equilibrium conditions, when  $O_2$  is bubbled through standing water in an open aquarium, even if the  $O_2$  concentration rises to nearly 500% of the air-saturation level and the concentration of  $N_2$ , which is driven out by the  $O_2$ , therefore falls virtually to zero. The occurrence of fatal gas bubble disease of freshwater fish ascribable to photosynthetic production of  $O_2$  has been described by Woodbury (1942), and a similar mortality of marine fish by Renfro (1963). As Doudoroff and Katz (1950) have pointed out, Woodbury's supposition that the bubbles found in the tissues of fish found dying at  $O_2$  levels of 30-32 mg/l were

bubbles of  $O_2$  only is without sound theoretical or other foundation. Doubtless  $N_2$  was an important and more lasting component. This conclusion, based on theory, is supported by the observations of Engelhorn (1943) on fish with gas bubble disease produced artificially in the laboratory.

## FECUNDITY AND EMBRYONIC DEVELOPMENT

### Fecundity

Some successful reproduction is, of course, essential to good fish production, and whenever circumstances happen to be already unfavorable, any additional interference with reproduction by impairment of water quality can have a lasting adverse effect on production. The possible influence of  $O_2$  concentration on the fecundity of fish apparently has received almost no attention in the past. In the course of some recent, long-term experiments performed at the Newtown Fish Toxicology Laboratory of the Federal Water Pollution Control Administration at Cincinnati, Ohio, U.S.A., W. A. Brungs (personal communication) made some interesting observations on the spawning of fathead minnows. He found that minnows reared in aquaria at reduced  $O_2$  concentrations near 2 mg/l for 11 months spawned only about one half as often, on the average, as did those reared at higher concentrations (3 to 8 mg/l). The number of eggs deposited per spawning was not reduced, but the total number of eggs produced was less by about one half. Females reared at concentrations averaging about 1 mg/l did not spawn at all. There was no marked difference in fecundity between those reared at about 3 mg/l  $O_2$  and those reared at higher concentrations. The frequency of spawning and total number of eggs produced were greatest, perhaps fortuitously, at the 5 mg/l level. Of the fry hatching at the 3 mg/l level, only 5% survived for 30 days, and none of those hatching at the 2 mg/l level survived. The highest per cent survival of fry (66%) occurred at the 5 mg/l level; that at the 4 mg/l level was 25%. The influence of

O<sub>2</sub> deficiency on the embryonic development of fish has been studied by a number of investigators, but we are aware of no other information about its effects on fecundity. Brungs' data suggest that the reduction of fecundity probably is not as important as the effect on embryo survival, in the case of the fathead minnow.

#### Embryonic development of salmonid fishes in the laboratory

The embryonic development of various salmonid fishes has been shown to be retarded at reduced O<sub>2</sub> concentrations that did not prove lethal to the embryos. Development of chum salmon, Oncorhynchus keta, for example, was markedly retarded or arrested during exposures of limited duration (e. g., 7 days), at 10°C, to concentrations of less than 1.0 to 1.8 mg/l at different developmental stages (Alderdice, Wickett, and Brett, 1958). Yet, upon return of the embryos to well-oxygenated water, their development proceeded to successful hatching of normal larvae. Exposure of the embryos to some of the lowest tested levels of O<sub>2</sub> at an early developmental stage resulted in the production of structural abnormalities, but less extreme levels apparently only impeded development. Lethal O<sub>2</sub> levels increased with increasing age of the embryos. Garside (1959) reported that lake trout, Salvelinus namaycush, that hatched after exposure throughout their development to reduced O<sub>2</sub> levels were frequently deformed. The incidence of the developmental abnormalities increased with increase of the incubation temperature. At 10°C, which apparently is a high temperature for embryos of this species, almost all embryos exposed to reduced O<sub>2</sub> concentrations (up to 4.2 mg/l) failed to hatch.

Gottwald (1965) exposed rainbow trout embryos for varying time intervals to different  $O_2$  levels at different stages of their development, beginning with closure of the blastopore. Experimental temperatures were about  $10^{\circ}$  to  $12^{\circ}$ C. Not only some delays of hatching, but also mortalities ranging from 16% to 76% were observed after exposure of the embryos of varying age for 3 days to a low  $O_2$  level of 0.75 to 1.10 mg/l. The mortalities increased progressively with increasing age of the embryos at the time of their exposure to the low  $O_2$  level. Exposure for 3 days to 1.50-1.85 mg/l or for 6 days (but not 3 days) to concentrations ranging from 2.10 to 3.25 mg/l at a late stage of development just prior to hatching also resulted in high mortalities: 89% and 29%, respectively. Exposure for 18 or 72 hours to concentrations between 1.50 and 1.35 mg/l at all tested stages of development except the earliest one resulted in somewhat increased mortalities (up to 20%). It is apparent that embryo mortalities increased both with decrease of  $O_2$  levels to which the embryos were exposed and with increase of exposure time. Few controls died. Mortalities of hatched larvae recorded up to complete absorption of yolk were not great (i. e. , well under 10% with one minor exception) and were not clearly related to the earlier treatment and mortalities of the embryos. Gottwald concluded that  $O_2$  concentrations below 5 mg/l evidently are dangerous or harmful for developing rainbow trout eggs, but the basis for this statement is not clear; injury at concentrations above 3.25 mg/l apparently was not actually demonstrated by his tests.

In some laboratory experiments, mortalities of rainbow trout and coho salmon embryos were greater at mean  $O_2$  concentrations between 4.5 and 6.0 mg/l

than at higher concentrations (Gottwald, 1960; Hamdorf, 1961; Mason, 1969; Chapman, 1969). However, in none of the experiments did  $O_2$  deficiency appear to be the primary cause of death at these concentrations. Most of the embryos survived at these and much lower concentrations, and when mortalities exceeded 15% at concentrations between 4, 5 and 6 mg/l, mortalities of controls reared at higher concentrations were also fairly high (i. e., about half as great to nearly as great). In experiments in which Hamdorf (1961) observed considerably increased mortalities of rainbow trout embryos at the moderately reduced concentrations (especially at 5.9 mg/l), the mortality was much less at a still lower concentration (3.0 mg/l).

Good survival under laboratory conditions of embryos of various salmonid fishes (rainbow and steelhead trout, and coho, chinook, and sockeye salmon) often has been observed when eggs were exposed, continuously from the time of their fertilization until hatching, to mean  $O_2$  concentrations as low as 2.1 to 3.0 mg/l at temperatures of 8° to 11°C (Hamdorf, 1961; Silver, Warren, and Doudoroff, 1963; Shumway, Warren, and Doudoroff, 1964; Brannon, 1965; Chapman, 1969). Embryo mortalities were often greater and deformities tended to occur more frequently at these low  $O_2$  concentrations than at higher concentrations, but hatching proved impossible only at tested concentrations below 2.0 mg/l, and fish hatching at higher levels usually were not deformed. Silver, Warren, and Doudoroff (1963) reported that survival of chinook salmon and steelhead trout embryos at concentrations averaging 2.6 and 2.5 mg/l was equal to that of controls, and 100% success in hatching chinook salmon eggs (at 11°C) was observed

at concentrations averaging 3.9 mg/l.

Any considerable reduction from air-saturation levels (i. e. , even to levels as high as 8 or 9 mg/l) of the  $O_2$  content of water in which the embryos were reared at various water velocities has resulted, however, in some reduction in size of the newly hatched larvae (alevins). The volumes and wet or dry weights of the larvae, determined after removal of the yolk, were reduced more markedly than were their lengths. Mean weights (dry or wet) or volumes of coho salmon, chinook salmon, and steelhead or rainbow trout alevins at the time of hatching at  $O_2$  levels of 2.5 to 3.0 mg/l were about one fourth to one half those of controls reared at levels near air-saturation (Shumway, Warren, and Doudoroff, 1964; Silver, Warren, and Doudoroff, 1963; Mason, 1969; Chapman, 1969; Hamdorf, 1961). The dry weights of coho salmon alevins (with yolk sac removed) hatching at  $O_2$  concentrations that averaged 2.8, 3.8, 4.9, 6.5 and 8.6 mg/l in an experiment at 10°C were less than those of the controls (at 11.2 mg/l) by about 70%, 59%, 40%, 20%, and 5%, respectively (Shumway, Warren, and Doudoroff, 1964). These percentages are means of values obtained at four different water velocities. The weights of the embryos hatching at each concentration decreased markedly and regularly as the accurately controlled water velocity was reduced from 800 to 3 cm/hr. Mean dry weights of steelhead trout alevins hatching at  $O_2$  concentrations that averaged 2.9, 4.1, 5.75, and 8.0 mg/l were less than those of controls (at 11.4 mg/l) by about 56%, 36%, 21%, and 7%, respectively, on the average, in two like experiments at 10°C and 300 cm/hr water velocity.

In laboratory experiments with coho salmon, chinook salmon, steelhead or rainbow trout, brook trout, and lake trout, hatching was markedly delayed by rearing embryos at reduced  $O_2$  concentrations (Shumway, Warren, and Doudoroff, 1964; Silver, Warren, and Doudoroff, 1963; Hamdorf, 1961; Garside, 1959, 1966; Chapman, 1969). On the other hand, no such delay of hatching of sockeye salmon was observed by Brannon (1965) even at an  $O_2$  level as low as 3.0 mg/l; the newly hatched larvae were, however, much smaller than controls reared at a high concentration.

Hamdorf (1961) reported results of some very interesting experiments in which rainbow trout embryos were first exposed to various reduced  $O_2$  levels at different stages of their development and were reared thereafter at these levels. With good reason, he concluded that the hatching size of the embryos depends on the recently prevailing  $O_2$  level; it was independent of conditions under which they were reared during the first half of their development. He further concluded that for each  $O_2$  level (at a given temperature) there is a specific hatching size, or stage of development, at which the young fish will hatch soon if exposed to that  $O_2$  level. If the specific hatching size has not yet been attained when the embryo is subjected to a low  $O_2$  level, the embryo continues to grow at a reduced rate until that size is attained. If the specific hatching size already has been much exceeded at the time of exposure to the low  $O_2$  level, the embryo is unable to hatch and soon dies of suffocation. Thus, death of advanced embryos may occur at an  $O_2$  level (2.1-3.0 mg/l at 10°C) that is tolerated by embryos exposed to it at an earlier developmental stage and until their somewhat premature but successful

hatching. If hatching is successful, the time to hatching may decrease, instead of increasing, with reduction of the  $O_2$  level.

These conclusions of Hamdorf (1961) are in agreement with those of Buznikov (1957, 1964) concerning the role of reduced  $O_2$  tension (inside the egg capsule) in the initiation of the normal hatching process, which involves secretion of a hatching enzyme. The onset of hatching apparently is triggered when the embryo grows large enough and its  $O_2$  consumption rate increases to a point where the  $O_2$  tension in the perivitelline fluid is sufficiently reduced. Hamdorf reasoned that this happens at an earlier stage of development when the  $O_2$  in the ambient medium is low than it does at a high  $O_2$  level. The result is that the hatching larva is smaller and less developed than one hatching at a high  $O_2$  level, and it completes a larger part of its development outside the egg capsule, where  $O_2$  is more available. Hamdorf found that the retarding action of hypoxia on early development or differentiation of the embryo is as great as the effect on more advanced development. Some compensating acceleration of development apparently occurs, however, when the  $O_2$  is increased after retardation of early development by hypoxia. Although the sequence of differentiation of organs is altered somewhat at very low  $O_2$  levels, subsequent growth of larvae in well-oxygenated water evidently can be normal.

We have already noted that increases of the velocity of water around salmonid embryos, embryos resting separately on porous plates through which the water was forced, had an effect on the size of newly hatched larvae like that of increases of dissolved  $O_2$  (Silver, Warren, and Doudoroff, 1963; Shumway, Warren, and Doudoroff, 1964). Rearing of embryos in entirely stagnant water has effects on their